

NATIONAL RURAL LABOUR EMPLOYMENT GUARANTEE SCHEME

A Field View

*Paper presented for the Conference on Employment
Opportunities & Global Public Employment Policy in Globalizing
India, Centre for Development Studies, Thiruvanthapuram,
3-5, April 2008*

Dr. ACK Nambiar

RBI Chair Professor

COUNCIL FOR SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

Southern Regional Centre

Hyderabad

STATUS IN KERALA

(as on January end)

Employment demanded	1.39 lakh household
Employment provided	1.34 lakh (96.40 %)
Person days created	39.1 lakh person days
Average person days per household	29 days
Share of SC in person days	15.51 %
Share of ST in person days	17.66 %
Share of Women in person days	67.19 %

Total Outlay	Rs. 85.16 Crore
Utilization	Rs. 53.38 Crore (62.68 % of outlay)
Projects taken up (No.)	10230
Completed	7332 (71.67 %)
Expenditure Pattern	87.19 % Unskilled wages
	8.01 % Materials & Skilled wages
	4.79 % Contingency

STATUS IN PALAKKAD – ACCOUNTS MAJOR SHARE

49.36 % of households demanded employment in Kerala

35.61 % SC / ST

64.39 % Others

Palakkad's share in Total Man days	48.06 %
---	----------------

Share of SC in man days in the district	24.69 %
--	----------------

Share of ST in man days in the district	9.53 %
--	---------------

Share of Women	77.22 %
-----------------------	----------------

No. of Projects	4299 (42.02 % of State)
------------------------	--

Completed	2130 (49.55 % of Total)
------------------	--

(Lagging in completion as the district accounts for 49.55 % of projects and 29.05 % of total completed projects)

FIELD OBSERVATIONS

1. Limited demand for job

Panchayat level statistics average 40 days

Range 13 – 53 days

Household level data 25 days last years and 38 days this year

Range 2 – 73 days

2. Three tier share hardly met

3. Dominantly female work force

4. Better targeting

5. Contractors were fully eliminated

6. Improved living conditions

- 7. Savings / Investment increased**
- 8. Community level flood control and increased water availability**
- 9. Led to rise in rural wage rate**
- 10. Some marginal increase in labour supply**
- 11. Productivity orientation**
- 12. Empowerment of women**
- 13. Reduction of poverty**
- 14. Illegal activities of tribals arrested**
- 15. Scope for women unity**
- 16. Controlled daily migration for work to some extent.**

WEAKNESSES

- 1. Standardized administrative set up**
- 2. Unable to meet the work norms**
- 3. Adverse impact on agriculture**
- 4. Panchayat capability in conceiving the projects limited**
- 5. New asset creation is very low**
- 6. Works are mainly renovation of existing water bodies.**
- 7. Lack of maintenance of assets**
- 8. Distribution of benefits of some projects pro-rich**
- 9. Lack of close supervision**
- 10. Lack of awareness**
- 11. Other unfair practices**

THANK YOU