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The Levy Economics Institute of Bard College was founded in 1986, through the generous support of Bard College Trustee Leon Levy, as a nonprofit, nonpartisan, public policy research organization. It is independent of any political or other affiliation and encourages diversity of opinion in the examination of economic issues.

The purpose of all Levy Institute research and activities is to enable scholars and leaders in business, labor, and government to work together on problems of common interest. Levy Institute findings are disseminated—via publications, conferences, workshops, seminars, congressional testimony, and other activities—to an international audience of public officials, private sector executives, academics, and the general public.

Through this process of scholarship, analysis, and informed debate, the Levy Institute generates effective public policy responses to economic problems that profoundly affect the quality of life in the United States and abroad.

The Levy Institute’s main conference and research facility is Blithewood Manor, on the campus of Bard College, located 90 miles north of New York City.
The Levy Institute is housed in Blithewood Manor at Bard College.
MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

In the biennial reports of previous years, I described how the Levy Institute draws inspiration and guidance not only from its founders and scholars but from a world facing many new and daunting challenges. Our efforts to redefine economics and public policy continue to attract notice and exert influence, nationally and internationally. As we begin our 20th year, we can look back on the Institute’s accomplishments in 2004 and 2005. The Institute continued to provide leadership in its long-standing core programs, in addition to launching a number of new initiatives that will shape its agenda for years to come.

Of particular importance to the Institute is its new program in Gender Equality and the Economy, led by our new Senior Scholars Diane Elson and Caren A. Grown. This program was developed in the recognition that gender equality is both an important influence on economic outcomes and a salient outcome in its own right. Though these insights are rapidly finding their way into modern economics, the way is still clear for development of a new body of gender-aware macroeconomic thought and policy, and the Levy Institute hopes to be at the forefront of this movement. The Gender Equality and the Economy program joins the Institute’s four long-existing programs: the State of the U.S. and World Economies, and Strategic Analysis; the Distribution of Income and Wealth, and the Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being; Economic Policy for the 21st Century; and Immigration, Ethnicity, and Social Structure.

We are interested not only in today’s research, but in developing the best economic minds of the future; in this regard, we have begun the process of extending our mission with the establishment of a Ph.D. program in economics. The curriculum will specialize in our uniquely defined areas of research and will consist of a series of core and elective courses, followed by the completion of a dissertation. Our plans call for a rigorous and predominantly research-based academic program, in which students will have the opportunity to study with some of the world’s leading scholars in three fields of concentration: macroeconomics in theory, modeling, and policy; distribution of well-being; and gender-aware macroeconomics and policy. Our aim is to matriculate the first class in the fall of 2007.

New scholars in several different fields have joined the Levy Institute during the past two years. Diane Elson, professor at the University of Essex in the United Kingdom, and Caren A. Grown, formerly director of the poverty alleviation program at the International Center for Research on Women, codirect the new gender program, as mentioned earlier, while Research Scholar Rania Antonopoulos coordinates all projects of that program. The arrival of Edward Chilcote, previously a vice president of Citibank, expanded our macromodeling team, and Marina Durano and Hyunsub Kum, new Ph.D.s from the University of Manchester and the University of Texas at Austin, respectively, joined our distribution of income and wealth program team. Our research associate roster grew with the addition of Nilüfer Çağatay, Marzia Fontana, Indira Hirway, Stephanie Seguino, and Imraan Valodia.
In 2004 we welcomed two new members to our Board of Governors: Lakshman Achuthan, managing director of the Economic Cycle Research Institute, and J. Ezra Merkin, managing partner of Gabriel Capital Group. We look forward to their continued guidance and wise counsel in the active years ahead.

The Institute held a number of conferences in 2004 and 2005. The distribution of income and wealth team organized two conferences, one on time use and well-being, the other on the distributional effects of government spending and taxation. Our two Hyman P. Minsky conferences focused on economic imbalances and the sustainability of the current economic expansion. And the gender equality and the economy team held its inaugural conference, which was jointly sponsored with the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Among the speakers at our conferences were a member of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, Federal Reserve Bank presidents, a former vice president of Costa Rica, many UNDP officials, a New York Times journalist who has written an important series of articles on the U.S. tax system, and an unusual mix of some of the most distinguished scholars, government policymakers, and private-sector experts in their fields. The conferences stimulated many new thoughts among our own scholars and, we hope, the other attendees.

The Institute was the recipient of four foundation and agency grants: from the Smith Richardson Foundation, for convening a conference, “Government Expenditures on the Elderly”; the International Working Group on Gender, Macroeconomics, and International Economics, for our conference on time use; the Bureau of Policy Analysis of the UNDP, for convening a conference on unpaid work; and the World Bank, for a cost assessment relating to the Millennium Development Goals. We are very grateful for their support.

This biennial report documents in more detail the activities and events of the past two years. I hope that readers will come away with a better understanding of the Institute’s unique vision and programs.

In closing, I want to express my gratitude to all our supporters, those who review our research, the members of the Board of Governors, and the president and trustees of Bard College. I take great pride in the passionate enthusiasm of my gifted research and administrative colleagues, who believe that we can strike out in new directions, discover and undertake innovative research, and expand our educational goals—and who, above all, are committed to seeing it happen.

DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, President
NEW INITIATIVE

PROGRAM IN GENDER EQUALITY AND THE ECONOMY

The Levy Institute is extending its long-standing concern with economic inequality by developing a new program, Gender Equality and the Economy (GEE). As a 2005 report from the World Economic Forum shows, no country in the world has eliminated gender gaps in economic participation, political empowerment, educational attainment, general health, and physical security. These gender gaps undermine economic growth and development and are costly to individuals and households.

The new program will consider how economic processes and policies affect gender equality, and how existing gender inequalities influence economic outcomes. The program will stimulate reexamination of key economic concepts, models, and indicators—with a particular view to reformulating policy. It will offer a broader view of what an economy is and how it functions, bringing into the analysis not only paid work, but the unpaid work (e.g., caring for families and community volunteerism) that enables the market economy to function. The purpose of the program is to contribute knowledge that improves women’s status and helps them realize their rights, in the United States and other countries.

GEE research will concentrate on three primary themes: gender equality and public finance; gender dimensions of macroeconomic and international economic policy; and gender equality, poverty, and well-being in national and international perspective.

Public finance (which includes taxation, spending by public bodies on goods and services, provision of income transfers from governments to households, government borrowing and debt, and
the financial relations among levels of government) has the potential to reduce or increase gender inequalities. Yet, with the exception of public expenditure, very little research exists on the ways that various public finance policies influence gender inequality within and across countries and over time. How much do tax and transfer policies offset market-based gender income inequalities? Do user fees for public services increase gender inequality? Does a greater voice for women in public policy result in changes in the size and composition of government budgets? What are the gender biases of taxation and tax-policy reforms?

In the past decade, a growing body of work has explored how macroeconomic outcomes are affected by gender inequalities and how gender inequalities are influenced by macroeconomic policies. Although gender equality is not the focus of macroeconomic policy, such policies cannot be assumed to be gender neutral. Does a requirement to balance budgets make it harder to reduce gender inequality? Is a focus on public investment and full employment sufficient for achieving gender equality? How can economic growth and gender equality be made compatible? Can gender equality improve the employment/inflation trade-off? Can gender equality improve trade performance?

Within this theme, the World Bank has commissioned GEE to carry out a study on the level of investment required in low- and middle-income countries for interventions to promote gender equality and empower women. This study will enable an estimate to be made of the amount of domestic and foreign resources poor countries will need in order to meet the Millennium Development Goal of gender equality.

Official measures of economic well-being need to be improved to allow for cross-country and intertemporal comparisons. The picture of economic well-being can vary significantly, depending on how it is measured. GEE will enhance this area of the Levy Institute's work by developing research on the intersection of gender inequality and other forms of deprivation. Research will include the reexamination of UN indicators for measuring gender inequality and women's empowerment, new analyses of time-use data, and the preparation of recommendations for the refinement of existing measures and/or the development of alternative indicators that can be used in policy formulation.

GEE will organize workshops, symposia, and conferences on topics of contemporary policy interest (see page 26 for more information on conferences) and will contribute actively to the Levy Institute’s publications program. A book addressing contemporary debates among scholars and nongovernmental organizations on the implications of trade liberalization for poverty and gender equality is forthcoming from Routledge in 2006. The program will also foster a vibrant community of research associates and visiting scholars, from throughout the United States and abroad.

GEE is codirected by Diane Elson and Caren A. Grown, with Rania Antonopoulos serving as projects coordinator. Diane Elson has held a chair at the University of Manchester and was visiting professor at Ruhr University Bochum and at the Institute of Social Studies in The Hague. She is vice president of the International Association for Feminist Economics and professor of sociology at the University of Essex, U.K. For the past four years, Caren A. Grown directed the Poverty Reduction and Economic Governance Program at the International Center for Research on Women. Previously, as senior program officer at the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, she conceived and implemented a five-year initiative, awarding grants for research, graduate training, and communication/application of new ideas in economics. She served on the boards of the Committee on the Status of Women in the Economics Profession and the Women’s Self-Employment Project. Rania Antonopoulos is a professor at New York University, where she has won awards for her teaching. She is one of the coordinators of the summer course organized by the International Working Group on Gender, Macroeconomics and International Economics.
RESEARCH

From 2004 through 2005, the Levy Institute’s research activities comprised five major program areas.

The State of the U.S. and World Economies, and Strategic Analysis This program uses the Levy Institute Macro Model to develop strategic analyses of the U.S. and world economies. The analyses project the outcomes of alternative scenarios, thereby providing policymakers with an understanding of the implications of various policy options.

Distribution of Income and Wealth, and the Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being (LIMEW) The core of this program is the LIMEW, which presents a comprehensive accounting of people’s access to the goods and services that determine economic well-being for households.

Gender Equality and the Economy This new program considers the impact of economic processes and economic policy on gender equality, examines gender asymmetries within and outside labor markets, and investigates the extent to which a gender perspective necessitates rethinking key economic concepts, models, and measures.

Economic Policy for the 21st Century Under this program, scholars analyze macroeconomic, microeconomic, and other policy issues. The analyses aid in developing policies that promote prosperity and well-being.

Immigration, Ethnicity, and Social Structure This program examines the socioeconomic assimilation and achievements of American immigrants, past and present. Social phenomena, such as intermarriage and graduation rates, are compared across immigrant groups of different periods and ethnicities.

PUBLICATIONS

The Levy Institute’s publications program forms the main pillar of its public education activities. In an effort to raise the level of public debate on a broad spectrum of economic matters, the Levy Institute publishes research findings, conference proceedings, policy discussions and analyses, and other material. Publications are aimed at academic, general, and policymaking audiences.

Working Papers research in progress by Levy Institute scholars and conference participants

Strategic Analyses reports based on Levy Institute models

Public Policy Briefs examinations of the policy aspects of contemporary economic issues

Public Policy Brief Highlights condensed statements of the basic arguments and recommendations contained within Public Policy Briefs

Policy Notes short articles by Levy Institute scholars and other contributors, presenting up-to-date research conclusions or policy statements on a wide range of topics. Policy Notes are designed to reach policymakers, as well as business and general audiences.

Report a quarterly newsletter designed to reach a diverse, general audience interested in policy matters. It includes summaries of new publications, synopses of conferences and other events, infor-
mation on Levy Institute activities, interviews with prominent scholars and public officials who can provide insights into current topics, and editorials by members of the Levy Institute research staff.

**Summary**—published three times a year and designed to reach an academic audience. It reports on current research by providing synopses of new publications, special features on continuing research projects, accounts of professional presentations by Levy Institute research staff, and overviews of Levy Institute events.

**Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being (LIMEW) Reports**—a series of statistical reports on the Levy Institute’s own gauge of the ways in which three key institutions (market, state, and household) mediate access to the goods and services produced in a modern market economy

**Conference, symposium, and forum proceedings**—summaries of presentations and discussion sessions

**The Levy Institute book series**

**The Levy Institute website** ([www.levy.org](http://www.levy.org))—with information on research projects, publications, scholars, and upcoming events, the website provides a critical means of outreach to the global community. Full-text versions of all Levy Institute publications can be downloaded or ordered from the website. Audio archives of past conferences and registration information for future events are also available.

The Levy Institute website averages 20,418 hits per day (more than half a million hits per month). The source of those hits underscores the international character of the community served by the site; visitors from 49 countries use the website to access Levy Institute publications and information. Those countries include United States, Sweden, Russia, Republic of South Africa, United Kingdom, Canada, People’s Republic of China, Germany, France, Netherlands, Brazil, Japan, South Korea, Australia, Turkey, Italy, Spain, Singapore, Norway, Switzerland, Belgium, India, Greece, Mexico, Hong Kong, Denmark, Finland, Argentina, Austria, Taiwan, Philippines, Poland, and Ireland.
PROGRAM 1: THE STATE OF THE U.S. AND WORLD ECONOMIES, AND STRATEGIC ANALYSIS

This program, which began in 1999, uses accounting-based models, created by Distinguished Scholar Wynne Godley, of the U.S. and world economies. The U.S. model is a macroeconomic one, employing a complete and consistent system (i.e., all sectors “sum up” with no unaccounted leakages) of stocks and flows (including income, production, and wealth). The world model is a “closed” one in which 11 trading blocs are represented. The United States, Japan, China, and Western Europe are included in the model, which is based on a matrix wherein each bloc’s imports are described in terms of exports from the other blocs. Using this information (in conjunction with data on energy demands and supplies, growth rates, and trade shares) trends are identified and patterns of trade and production are analyzed.

Projections derived from the models are not intended as short-term forecasts but as a means of deriving reasonable expectations based on careful analysis of recent developments—assuming current trends, policies, and relationships continue. Such projections can alert leaders to the dangers of unsustainable processes and can serve as a guide to policymaking.
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“The work of The Levy Economics Institute is literally indispensable, and probably irreplaceable. Levy researchers delve deeply into areas to which other think tanks barely pay attention, such as income inequality, for example. Thank heaven for Levy.”

— Alan S. Blinder, Gordon J. Rentschler Memorial Professor of Economics and codirector, Center for Economic Policy Studies, Princeton University; former vice chairman of the Federal Reserve Board

Publications

Note: All Levy Institute publications can be located at www.levy.org.

STRATEGIC ANALYSES

The United States and Her Creditors: Can the Symbiosis Last?

WYNNE GODLEY, DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, CLAUDIO H. DOS SANTOS, GENNARO ZEZZA

Strategic Analysis, September 2005

This analysis offers two main arguments. The first argument posits that, if U.S. output grows quickly enough to keep unemployment constant between now and 2010, and if there is no further depreciation in the dollar, the deficit in the balance of trade is likely to worsen. In the second scenario, in which the trade deficit does not improve, or worsens, there will be considerable further deterioration in the United States’s net foreign asset position, interest rates will rise, net income payments from abroad will turn negative, and the deficit in the current account could reach at least 8.5 percent of GDP.

How Fragile Is the U.S. Economy?

DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, ANWAR M. SHAIKH, CLAUDIO H. DOS SANTOS, GENNARO ZEZZA

Strategic Analysis, March 2005

As the authors projected in a previous strategic analysis, in 2004 the U.S. economy experienced growth rates higher than 4 percent. Will these rates persist or go back down? The authors, believing that several signs point in the latter direction, analyze the evidence and explore alternatives facing the U.S. economy.
Prospects and Policies for the U.S. Economy: Why Net Exports Must Now Be the Motor for U.S. Growth

WYNNE GODLEY, ALEX IZURIETA, GENNARO ZEZZA
Strategic Analysis, August 2004

The U.S. economy has grown reasonably quickly since the second half of 2003. This analysis argues that, although the expansion may continue through 2004 and beyond, medium-term growth cannot be maintained without a large, sustained, and discontinuous increase in net export demand. While eschewing a short-term forecast, the authors use a model rooted in a consistent system of stock and flow variables to trace a range of medium-term scenarios and evaluate strategic predicaments and policy options.

Is Deficit-Financed Growth Limited? Policies and Prospects in an Election Year

DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, ANWAR M. SHAIKH, CLAUDIO H. DOS SANTOS, GENNARO ZEZZA
Strategic Analysis, April 2004

This strategic analysis examines budget deficits, which, at the time of writing, had replaced private borrowing as the main force driving economic growth. In the text’s first scenario, which assumes fiscal policy will remain unchanged, economic growth is strong but the current account deficit balloons unacceptably. A second scenario, in which spending is cut, improves the current account deficit but leads to a prolonged period of slow economic growth.

WORKING PAPERS

A Simplified Stock-Flow Consistent Post-Keynesian Growth Model

CLAUDIO H. DOS SANTOS, GENNARO ZEZZA
Working Paper No. 421, April 2005

Keynesian Theorizing During Hard Times: Stock-Flow Consistent Models as an Unexplored “Frontier” of Keynesian Macroeconomics

CLAUDIO H. DOS SANTOS
Working Paper No. 408, May 2004

Some Simple, Consistent Models of the Monetary Circuit

GENNARO ZEZZA
Working Paper No. 405, April 2004

A Stock-Flow Consistent General Framework for Formal Minskyan Analyses of Closed Economies

CLAUDIO H. DOS SANTOS
Working Paper No. 403, February 2004

A Post-Keynesian Stock-Flow Consistent Macroeconomic Growth Model: Preliminary Results

CLAUDIO H. DOS SANTOS, GENNARO ZEZZA
Working Paper No. 402, February 2004
Is there sufficient realization of how intractable U.S. imbalances have now become—and of how dangerous their potential consequences are, at home and abroad?

The yawning current account deficit must be turned around, and it is unlikely that this will happen through the unaided action of the free market. A solution will require a new international order. However, there is little motivation for moving in that direction, as many Asian countries focus on developing industrial might and the United States continues consuming beyond its means. The most worrisome aspect of the U.S. predicament is the fact that aggregate demand is being supported by a precarious and unsustainable buildup of private debt.

The United States is now dependent on a handful of foreign central banks to cover its current account deficit. There are three ways this deficit could be reversed, each with profound consequences: a renewal of America’s role as the engine of world economic growth; a complete collapse of the dollar (the effect of which would be disastrous both in the United States and the rest of the world); or a geopolitical realignment with a diminished role for American power. The first scenario is growing more and more implausible, and the second is too dire to contemplate. Therefore, the third scenario is becoming ever more conceivable, particularly as the rising Asian powers begin to flex their economic muscles.

Wray argues that widespread concerns over the four “Ds” that are listed in the title of this Policy Note are overdrawn. A sovereign nation with its own currency can never fail to pay its bills. Moreover, the burden of government debt remains fairly low, when measured against the overall size of the economy.
Economic inequality is a prominent and perennial concern. An increase in inequality during the 1970s and early 1980s stimulated interest in studying the causes and consequences of the phenomenon. Information from the 1990s suggests that economic growth and prosperity no longer reduce economic equality dramatically.

Persistent inequalities, within and across nations, can only be resolved using innovative policies based on sound scholarship. Recognizing this fact, the Levy Institute has, since its inception, maintained an active research program on the distribution of earnings, income, and wealth. Recent Levy Institute research has included studies of economic well-being among the elderly, public and private pensions, well-being over the life cycle, the role of assets in economic well-being, and determinants of wealth accumulation.

The Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being (LIMEW)

The LIMEW, established to improve upon existing official measurements of economic well-being, is informed by the understanding that three key institutions—market, state, and household—mediate household members’ access to the goods and services of a modern market economy. Therefore, the economic well-being of a household is shaped by the interdependent forces exerted by all three institutions.

Two crucial elements characterize the LIMEW: it focuses on components that can be converted into money equivalents, and its household-level measures can be applied to different economic and demographic groups.

The LIMEW is constructed as the sum of base money income (gross money income less government cash transfers and property income); the value of certain employer-provided, in-kind benefits;
“The Levy Institute has made and continues to make valuable contributions to the understanding of the complexities entailed in policy problems, appropriate analytic approaches to these problems, and promising avenues to their resolution.”

—William J. Baumol, Professor of Economics, New York University; Professor Emeritus and Senior Research Economist, Princeton University

income from wealth; net government expenditures (transfers and public consumption net of taxes); and value of household production. In the absence of an ideal unified database, the LIMEW draws information from sources such as the Annual Demographic Supplement of the Current Population Survey conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau and the National Income and Product Accounts.

One of the goals of the LIMEW is to provide, at regular intervals, estimates of well-being for the United States and a number of other nations and to relate those estimates to economic policies.
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EDWARD N. WOLFF, Senior Scholar
MARINA DURANO, Research Scholar
JAMES K. GALBRAITH, Senior Scholar
HYUNSUB KUM, Research Scholar
DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, President
AJIT ZACHARIAS, Research Scholar
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LIMEW
Interim Report: The Effects of Government Deficits and the 2001–02 Recession on Well-Being
EDWARD N. WOLFF, AJIT ZACHARIAS, HYUNSUB KUM
LIMEW/May 2005

This report compares the LIMEW and official measures of economic well-being for 1989–2002, a period marked by the U.S. economic boom of the late 1990s and a mild recession in 2001–02.
Economic Well-Being in U.S. Regions and the Red and Blue States
EDWARD N. WOLFF, AJIT ZACHARIAS
LIMEW/March 2005

This report finds increased economic polarization between the very rich and the very poor in all regions of the country. Average households in the South and Northeast were the least and most well-off, respectively, in all years and by all measures. The “Blue” (Kerry) states consistently lead the “Red” (Bush) states in median well-being, a gap that narrowed between 1989 and 2001. Inequality among households increased in all regions, and this increase was greater in the Blue states.

How Much Does Public Consumption Matter for Well-Being?
EDWARD N. WOLFF, AJIT ZACHARIAS, ASEN A CANER
LIMEW/December 2004

In this report, which supplements previous findings of the LIMEW, the authors explore the sensitivity of their key findings to changes in the set of assumptions that they use to impute public consumption. The authors consider alternative assumptions regarding three components of public consumption: general public consumption, highways, and schooling. New calculations for 1989 and 2000 show that the authors’ initial major findings remain intact, even when alternative estimation procedures are used.

How Much Does Wealth Matter for Well-Being? Alternative Measures of Income from Wealth
EDWARD N. WOLFF, AJIT ZACHARIAS, ASEN A CANER
LIMEW/September 2004

This document reexamines the sensitivity of the LIMEW to underlying assumptions on imputing income from wealth and provides new calculations for 1989 and 2000. The new figures verify the LIMEW’s initial major findings, even when alternative estimation procedures are used. The authors conclude that mean income from wealth increases by LIMEW decile, the share of mean income from wealth rose between 1989 and 2000, and inequality was higher in 2000 than it was in 1989.

EDWARD N. WOLFF, AJIT ZACHARIAS, ASEN A CANER
LIMEW/May 2004

This report compares LIMEW’s figures with the U.S. Census Bureau’s most comprehensive measure of well-being and uses several yardsticks to examine the factors contributing to inequality. The report’s findings suggest that two Census Bureau measures understate the level of inequality in the distribution of command over commodities.

EDWARD N. WOLFF, AJIT ZACHARIAS, ASEN A CANER
LIMEW/February 2004

Largely owing to the factor of stock market wealth, inequality in access to commodities is greater when measured in terms of the LIMEW than it is when using the Census Bureau’s “extended income” measure. Government expenditures and taxation mitigate inequality, to some extent, but this effect has lessened in recent years. Overall, LIMEW grew twice as fast as money income from 1989 to 2000, and LIMEW was much larger than monetary income, reflecting its inclusive nature.
In recent years, despite the fact that the extent of poverty has not significantly diminished since the early 1970s, the debate over poverty has seemingly ended. In a country in which middle-class citizens struggle to afford health insurance and other necessities, the problems of the worst-off Americans seem, to many, remote. Moreover, the welfare reform bill of 1996 has deflected much of the criticism of the welfare state by, among other measures, ending the individual-level entitlement to Aid to Families with Dependent Children benefits (now known as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) and putting time limits on welfare.

According to the authors of this brief, poverty measures should include wealth, as well as income. Their study of asset poverty in the United States between 1984 and 1999 focuses on the lower end of the wealth distribution. The authors show that asset poverty rates did not decline during the period studied, the severity of poverty increased, and asset poverty is much more persistent than income poverty.
PROGRAM 3: GENDER EQUALITY AND THE ECONOMY

In this, the newest program to emerge from the Levy Institute, gender equality is considered in intersection with other factors, including occupation, race, ethnicity, and location.

The program focuses on three areas of research: gender equality and public finance; gender dimensions of macroeconomic and international economic policy; and gender equality, poverty, and well-being in national and international perspective. In addition, the program addresses contemporary policy debates. To what extent do tax and transfer policies offset market-based gender-income inequalities? Do user fees for public services increase gender inequality in “capabilities,” as defined by Amartya Sen? Does a greater voice for women in public policy result in changes in the size and composition of government budgets? Does downsizing the public sector increase the time that women and girls spend on unpaid work? Is a focus on public investment and full employment sufficient for achieving gender equality? Can gender equality improve the employment/inflation trade-off? Does globalization promote gender equality? Can gender equality improve trade performance? How does unpaid household work impact the economic well-being of households and women?
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Diane Elson, Codirector of the program on Gender Equality and the Economy; Senior Scholar
Caren A. Grown, Codirector of the program on Gender Equality and the Economy; Senior Scholar
Rania Antonopoulos, Research Scholar
Dimitri B. Papadimitriou, President
Nilüfer Çağatay, Research Associate
Maria Sagrario Floro, Research Associate

“Over my considerable years I have discovered that independent, well-designed research is a decisive source of knowledge and guidance. In this, the Levy Institute is indispensable.”

— John Kenneth Galbraith, Professor Emeritus, Department of Economics, Harvard University

MARZIA FONTANA, Research Associate
INDIRA HIRWAY, Research Associate
STEPHANIE SEGUINO, Research Associate
IMRAAN VALODIA, Research Associate
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WORKING PAPERS

Gender Inequality in a Globalizing World
STEPHANIE SEGUINO
Working Paper No. 426, July 2005

Asset Ownership along Gender Lines: Evidence from Thailand
RANIA ANTONOPOULOS, MARIA SAGRARIO FLORO
Working Paper No. 418, February 2005
PROGRAM 4: ECONOMIC POLICY FOR THE 21ST CENTURY

The Levy Economics Institute is widely known for its use of economic analyses in informing policy-making strategies. The Economic Policy for the 21st Century program emphasizes research in monetary policy and financial institutions, federal budget policy, and the labor market. Past studies have included an examination of the introduction of the euro and its effects upon the monetary conditions and institutions of the European community; and a Minskyan analysis of economic problems in the United States, Japan, and Brazil. In addition, the program has analyzed the impact of federal budget policies on economic growth, the need for fiscal expansion in order to combat economic torpor, and the Social Security and health care systems. In exploring labor market policies, the Economic Policy for the 21st Century program has examined trends in productivity, the effects of technology on earnings, policies that promote full employment, and the impact of an increase in minimum wage on hiring practices and earnings.
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DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, President
PHILIP ARESTIS, Senior Scholar
JAMES K. GALBRAITH, Senior Scholar
GREG HANNSGEN, Resident Research Associate
W. RAY TOWLE, Resident Research Associate
EDWARD N. WOLFF, Senior Scholar
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AJIT ZACHARIAS, Research Scholar
JÖRG BIBOW, Research Associate
ROBERT E. CARPENTER, Research Associate
“The Levy Institute regularly brings novel and creative perspectives to a wide range of economic topics [and] it provides first-rate analyses of important economic issues. These attributes make the Levy Institute an essential of the economics profession.”

—Bruce Greenwald, Robert Heilbrunn Professor of Finance and Asset Management and director, Heilbrunn Center for Graham and Dodd Investing, Columbia Business School

KORKUT A. ERTÜRK, Research Associate
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THOMAS L. HUNGERFORD, Research Associate
WILLIAM H. LAZONICK, Research Associate
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MALCOLM SAWYER, Research Associate
STEPHANIE SEGUINO, Research Associate
WILLEM THORBECKE, Research Associate
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WORKING PAPERS

All Types of Inequality are Not Created Equal: Divergent Impacts of Inequality on Economic Growth
STEPHANIE SEGUINO
Working Paper No. 433, December 2005

Job-Hopping in Silicon Valley: Some Evidence Concerning the Micro-Foundations of a High Technology Cluster
BRUCE FALLICK, CHARLES A. FLEISCHMAN, JAMES B. REBITZER
Working Paper No. 432, December 2005
Monetary Policy Strategies of the European Central Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank of the U.S.
CLAUDIO SARDONI, L. RANDALL WRAY
Working Paper No. 431, November 2005

Are Long-run Price Stability and Short-run Output Stabilization All that Monetary Policy Can Aim For?
GIUSEPPE FONTANA, ALFONSO PALACIO-VERA
Working Paper No. 430, November 2005

Bad for Euroland, Worse for Germany: The ECB’s Record
JÖRG BIBOW
Working Paper No. 429, November 2005

Europe's Quest for Monetary Stability: Central Banking Gone Astray
JÖRG BIBOW
Working Paper No. 428, August 2005

Liquidity Preference Theory Revisited: To Ditch or to Build on It?
JÖRG BIBOW
Working Paper No. 427, August 2005

Refoocusing the ECB on Output Stabilization and Growth through Inflation Targeting?
JÖRG BIBOW
Working Paper No. 425, July 2005

Macroeconomics of Speculation
KORKUT A. ERTÜRK
Working Paper No. 424, June 2005

Is More Mobility Good? Firm Mobility and the Low Wage–Low Productivity Trap
STEPHANIE SEGUINO
Working Paper No. 423, May 2005

The Disutility of International Debt: Analytical Results and Methodological Implications
GREG HANNSGEN
Working Paper No. 422, April 2005

FDIC-Sponsored Self-Insured Depositors: Using Insurance to Gain Market Discipline and Lower the Cost of Bank Funding
PANOS KONSTAS
Working Paper No. 419, March 2005

Measuring Capacity Utilization in OECD Countries: A Cointegration Method
ANWAR M. SHAIKH, JAMEE K. MOUDUD
Working Paper No. 415, November 2004
Visions and Scenarios: Heilbroner’s Worldly Philosophy, Lowe’s Political Economics, and the Methodology of Ecological Economics  
MATHEW FORSTATER  
Working Paper No. 413, October 2004

The Transmission Mechanism of Monetary Policy: A Critical Review  
GREG HANNSGEN  
Working Paper No. 412, October 2004

Financial Liberalization and Poverty: Channels of Influence  
PHILIP ARETIS, ASENA CANER  
Working Paper No. 411, July 2004

Gibson’s Paradox, Monetary Policy, and the Emergence of Cycles  
GREG HANNSGEN  
Working Paper No. 410, July 2004

Assessing the ECB’s Performance since the Global Slowdown: A Structural Policy Bias Coming Home to Roost?  
JÖRG BIBOW  
Working Paper No. 409, July 2004

Investigating the Intellectual Origins of Euroland’s Macroeconomic Policy Regime: Central Banking Institutions and Traditions in West Germany after the War  
JÖRG BIBOW  

Borrowing Alone: The Theory and Policy Implications of the Commodification of Finance  
GREG HANNSGEN  
Working Paper No. 401, January 2004

Fiscal Consolidation: Contrasting Strategies & Lessons from International Experiences  
JÖRG BIBOW  
Working Paper No. 400, January 2004

Does Financial Structure Matter?  
PHILIP ARETIS, AMBIKA D. LUNTEL, KUL B. LUNTEL  
Working Paper No. 399, January 2004

POLICY NOTES

Social Security’s 70th Anniversary: Surviving 20 Years of Reform  
L. RANDALL WRAY  
Policy Note 2005/6

Although the Social Security system—the nation’s most successful social program, and one of its longest lived—has allowed generations of Americans to live with dignity in retirement, top policymakers in
Washington continue to suggest that it is “unsustainable.” They claim it is necessary to shift more responsibility to individuals and scale back the promises made to the coming waves of retiring baby boomers.

**Manufacturing a Crisis: The Neocon Attack on Social Security**

L. RANDALL WRAY  
Policy Note 2005/2

Calls for Social Security “reforms” are based on alarmist claims that the system will soon “go bankrupt.” But rumors of the fund’s demise are greatly exaggerated—they rest on the faulty notion that a single government program can face bankruptcy on its own. A constructive response to current demographic trends is not to gut the nation’s most healthy and popular social program, but to see to it that long-term care facilities, senior citizen–friendly public transit systems, and retirement communities are available in time for the much-anticipated wave of baby boomer retirements.

**The Case for an Environmentally Sustainable Jobs Program**

MATHEW FORSTATER  
Policy Note 2005/1

Forstater argues that, since the unfortunate existence of mass unemployment in capitalist economies serves many economic functions, it is no surprise that unemployment is stubbornly high. He posits that a government “employer of last resort” (ELR) program could overcome many of the barriers to full employment. For example, ELR workers could serve as a labor pool for the private sector during economic booms, a function now performed by the unemployed. Furthermore, ELR projects could be chosen for their environmental sustainability, typically a weak point in the way the private sector approaches job generation.

**Inflation Targeting and the Natural Rate of Unemployment**

WILLEM THORBECKE  
Policy Note 2004/1

An increasing number of central banks around the world are adopting inflation targets, and some economists believe the U.S. Federal Reserve should do the same. The theoretical foundations for inflation targeting lie in the doctrine of the “natural rate of unemployment,” which says that it is impossible for the Fed to keep unemployment low without causing inflation to accelerate. But this doctrine has proven false in recent years, as unemployment has fallen well below its purported “natural rate” without an acceleration of inflation.
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**The Ownership Society: Social Security Is Only the Beginning . . .**

L. RANDALL WRAY  
Public Policy Brief No. 82, 2005 (Highlights, No. 82A)

In his second term, President Bush has focused his domestic agenda on what he calls the “ownership society,” a sweeping vision of an America in which more citizens would hold significant assets and be free to make their own choices about providing for their health care and retirement, and educating their children. The author of this Public Policy Brief evaluates the premises and logic of Bush’s program.
and concludes that, while the program would increase the choices and power of those who have property, many gains for the wealthy would come at the expense of the poor, the sick, and the elderly.

**Breaking Out of the Deficit Trap: The Case Against the Fiscal Hawks**
JAMES K. GALBRAITH
Public Policy Brief No. 81, 2005 (Highlights, No. 81A)

While accepting the value of some econometric findings made by those who argue that supply and demand of saving determine the interest rate, the author of this brief forwards an alternative, Keynesian, view. He suggests that deficits can increase overall output without increasing the ratio of the debt to GDP, monetary policy plays a large role, and strong demand for goods and services is more important than the supply of capital in determining the pace of technological advance and the rate of growth of output per worker. The text constitutes a powerful rebuttal of the reflexively anti-deficit view.

**The Fed and the New Monetary Consensus: The Case for Rate Hikes, Part Two**
L. RANDALL WRAY
Public Policy Brief No. 80, 2004 (Highlights, No. 80A)

This Public Policy Brief examines flaws in the Fed's logic and traces several strands of current central bank thinking back to the Fed's mid-1990s internal discussions. Recently released transcripts of those discussions have yielded disturbing insights into the way in which monetary policy is formed. This is especially disturbing in view of the fact that the current economic environment and contemporary justifications for tight policies are reminiscent of 1994.

**The Case for Rate Hikes: Did the Fed Prematurely Raise Rates?**
L. RANDALL WRAY
Public Policy Brief No. 79, 2004 (Highlights, No. 79A)

This brief evaluates the Fed's concern about a coming inflation and its decision to begin raising interest rates. The author examines key market developments that might signal inflation and concludes that the job market will not be a source of inflation, any more than it was during the Clinton boom.

**The Sustainability of Economic Recovery in the United States: The Risks to Consumption and Investment**
PHILIP ARESTIS, ELIAS KARAKITSOS
Public Policy Brief No. 77, 2004 (Highlights, No. 77A)

In the second half of 2003, a rebound of consumption, investment, and consumer confidence raised hopes that the U.S. economic recovery from the 2001 recession is on a sustainable course. However, although they suggest that the current administration will find it difficult to refrain from additional procyclical fiscal stimulus, the authors recommend slow, rather than rapid, economic growth. They fear that the latter would result in higher long-term interest rates, which would threaten the property market boom and weaken investment in 2005 and beyond.
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Perlmann is also at work on a long-term project that addresses a classic issue in immigrant and ethnic history—the rapid socioeconomic rise of eastern European Jewish immigrants who entered America at the turn of the 20th century. This project rests on a close analysis of Russian Empire census data, U.S. records of individual immigrant arrivals, and U.S. Census Bureau data. Perlmann has rendered the first two data sets “machine readable” for the first time, and public-use samples from the American census had been unavailable to researchers until recently. These databases make it possible to examine the social origins of immigrants—and of those members of the population who did not emigrate—in much greater depth than was previously possible.

In addition, Perlmann participates in American debates about ethnic and racial classifications used in data collection and examines the bureaucratic, cultural, and political contexts within which these debates occur. He is completing a book on “racialization” and “de-racialization” of European immigrants in American federal statistics ca. 1900.

Yuval Elmelech, research associate and assistant professor of sociology at Bard College, is a coinvestigator with Perlmann on two projects related to the ethno-racial intermarriage project: demonstrating the prevalence of multiracial origins among blacks born out of wedlock and establishing the geographic distribution of native whites born out of wedlock. Elmelech also investigates issues of wealth inequality in America and socioeconomic inequality in Israel.
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This conference was organized as a contribution to the Levy Institute’s ongoing research into the distribution of income and wealth, and how this distribution affects the quality of life. Particular focus was placed on examining the distributional consequences of the public sector, including effects on personal distribution and the relationship between the public sector and functional distribution of national income. Papers analyzed government activity on the federal, state, and local levels; considered the distribution of the costs and benefits of a single government program (such as the Social Security system in the United States); and compared studies among a set of countries.
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This global conference was organized by the Bureau for Development Policy (BDP), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), in partnership with the Levy Institute. The conference was held with the purpose of sharing views, experiences, and methodologies, from around the world, on women’s unpaid work (including unpaid care work) and its relationship to achieving the Millennium Development Goals. Participants discussed the growing body of research on unpaid work and its application to pro-poor economics, as well as related tools (such as time-use surveys and economic modeling) that are used in policy analysis.
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Central bankers and academic and private-sector economists gathered to discuss possible policy responses to several significant imbalances in the U.S. economy, including the current account deficit and negative private-sector balance. Individual papers focused on such topics as monetary policy, the possible existence of a real estate “bubble,” the impact of government deficits, and the effects of Asian competition on the U.S. job market. Four speeches proved to be conference highlights. In one speech, Sandra Pianalto of the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland argued that, in spite of imbalances, the Fed should remain focused primarily on price stability. In another, David D. Hale of Hale Advisors LLC asserted that a devaluation of the dollar would almost certainly bring higher interest rates and would, thereby, threaten the nation’s strong residential property market. In his talk, Paul Davidson of the New School for Social Research and the Journal of Post Keynesian Economics urged the creation of a new international reserve asset. And, in his presentation, Donald L. Kohn, who serves on the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, pointed out that reasonable assumptions about the behavior of economic actors indicated that current economic imbalances were “unsustainable.”
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April 23–24, 2004
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As part of its research program on financial markets, the Levy Institute organized this conference to examine a number of topics within the context of current economic trends and their implications for world economies and the United States. Topics included fiscal and monetary policies for the expansion of national economies and the global economy as a whole, exchange rate misalignments and their possible cures, and trade and capital flows that could affect monetary and fiscal policies. The role of the United States was considered vis-à-vis the international climate. Participants generally agreed that the current recovery was fragile. Some expressed concern that the household sector had accumulated an enormous debt burden and that policymakers do not appreciate the role government could play in restoring stability.
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