the Minsky moment
The Levy Economics Institute of Bard College was founded in 1986, through the generous support of Bard College Trustee Leon Levy, who contributed immeasurably to the Levy Institute’s development and continued to serve as chairman of its board of governors until his death in 2003. As it grew into a highly esteemed nonprofit, nonpartisan public policy research organization, the Levy Institute benefited from Leon Levy’s early guidance, insight, knowledge, and enthusiasm.

The Levy Institute is independent of any political or other affiliation and encourages diversity of opinion in the examination of economic issues. The purpose of all Levy Institute research and activities is to enable scholars and leaders in business, labor, and government to work together on problems of common interest. Levy Institute findings are disseminated—via publications, conferences, workshops, seminars, congressional testimony, and other activities—to an international audience of public officials, private sector executives, academics, and the general public.

Through this process of scholarship, analysis, and informed debate, the Levy Institute generates effective public policy responses to economic problems that profoundly affect the quality of life in the United States and abroad.
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MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

In the 2006–07 period covered by this report, The Levy Economics Institute continued to make significant contributions to the public policy discussion on many economic issues. In addition to organizing conferences, workshops, and lectures with distinguished representatives of the academy, the business community, and the government, the Levy Institute used its wide range of print and online publications to disseminate information about, and foster debate on, many policy issues.

The Levy Institute’s macromodeling team, led by Distinguished Scholar Wynne Godley and me, continued to simulate trends in the internal and external balances of our economy. The team was recently strengthened by the addition of longtime Research Scholar Greg Hannsgen. Our group warned of the impending effects of a multifaceted implosion in the housing boom combined with an unraveling of subprime mortgage securities, two events that have, unfortunately, come to pass. In this regard, our group documented the unsustainability of household borrowing and trade deficits. It also probed the likely implications of the loosening of underwriting standards in the mortgage markets and the inability of borrowers to service their debt. As I write, the U.S. financial market is facing a crisis of major proportions, and that crisis is spreading overseas. Many commentators have referred to the crisis as a Minsky moment—a long-overdue recognition of the path-breaking work of our late Institute colleague Hyman P. Minsky. Our projections and analysis of the present and future course of the economy are discouraging, but they provide possible alternative pathways out of what we consider to be the nation’s most challenging economic predicament.

The Levy Institute’s research in macroeconomics and finance was bolstered by the addition of Senior Scholar Jan Kregel to our staff. Kregel, formerly chief of policy analysis and development in the U.N. Financing for Development Office, has been a prolific contributor to debates on monetary, fiscal, and development policies in the Eurozone, Latin America, and the United States. Since joining the Institute in 2007, Kregel has authored and delivered more than 15 papers around the world explaining inter alia the need for reforming the international financial architecture, the failure of neoliberal policies in Latin America, Africa, and elsewhere, and arguing for a Keynesian/Minskyan alternative. In addition to Kregel, the team of researchers working in this area includes Senior Scholars James K. Galbraith and L. Randall Wray.

The past two years have also seen the expansion of the Levy Institute’s activities in the area of the distribution of income, wealth, and economic well-being. This research project, led by Senior Scholars Edward N. Wolff and Ajit Zacharias, has released a number of reports on the Institute’s newly constructed measure of economic well-being (LIMEW) for a number of years in the 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s, and for various population groups and regions based on the available data. In October 2007, with significant financial support from the Alfred P. Sloan...
Foundation, we convened a group of international scholars to study the feasibility of extending the Institute’s measure to other countries within the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. The grant from the Sloan Foundation will also enable us to complete the construction of the measure for more years, as far back as the 1960s, which we hope will assist policymakers as they tackle issues relating to the economic fortunes of the nation’s various population groups (e.g., elderly and nonelderly) and regions (e.g., the blue and red states). In addition to Wolff and Zacharias, the LIMEW group includes recently appointed Senior Scholar Thomas Masterson, as well as Research Associate Hyunsub Kum and Research Assistant Melissa Mahoney.

The Levy Institute’s program on gender equality and the economy, under the leadership of Research Scholar Rania Antonopoulos, issued a number of research papers and received several grants, from the United Nations Development Programme, the International Labour Organization, and, in association with the International Working Group on Gender, Macroeconomics, and International Economics, the Ford Foundation. As detailed in the pages that follow, the gender program, in conjunction with the program on the distribution of income, wealth, and economic well-being, will now focus on issues affecting the divergence in gender empowerment, inequality, time use, and various forms of deprivation. In 2007, Research Scholar Kijong Kim and Research Associate and Editor Feridoon Koohi-Kamali joined the gender program’s team.

Senior Scholar Joel Perlmann, who heads the immigration, ethnicity, and social structure program, has been examining the social characteristics that can explain the social and economic mobility of Eastern European Jewish immigrants who entered the United States at the turn of the 20th century. He has been poring over U.S. Census data that were previously unavailable or not machine-readable. In fall 2007, Perlmann convened a symposium with leading sociologists and economic historians studying these issues to discuss and debate his and others’ findings.

The Levy Institute’s research and outreach activities were expanded through the work of its new associates, who carry out their research while maintaining their posts at their home institutions, working on specific issues affecting economic policy of particular interest to the Institute. In 2006–07, our roster of associates grew with the addition of Lekha S. Chakraborty and Pinaki Chakraborty of the Centre for Development Studies (India), Robert W. Parenteau of Allianz Capital, Jacques Silber of Bar-Ilan University (Israel), and Pavlina Tcherneva of Bard College. The thoughtful and innovative ideas of more than 35 research associates from around the world gained wide audience via the Institute’s conferences and workshops, and/or by having their papers disseminated through the Institute’s distribution channels.

During the period covered by this report, leaders from academe, business, central banks, and government gathered to assess the relevance of Hyman Minsky’s ideas to the world’s pressing economic problems, from global imbalances, overindebtedness, and financial instability, to unemployment and poverty. Given the recent state of the U.S. and world economies, Minsky’s insights and policy prescriptions proved more telling than ever. The Institute also held two conferences on government spending, one focusing on the elderly (supported through a grant from the Smith Richardson Foundation), the other, on the distributional effects of spending and taxation in the United States and other countries of the industrialized world.

The Levy Institute’s work continues to be featured on our website, which by the end of 2007 was receiving close to 750,000 hits per month. The site’s recent redesign was expertly handled by Web Services Coordinator Juliet Meyers and Digital Content Manager Barbara A. Murphy.
scholars traveled to many countries, from South Africa to Argentina, from France and the United Kingdom to India and Malaysia, to present our policy research papers to academics and government officials.

In 2007, we welcomed a new member to our Board of Governors: Bruce C. Greenwald, professor of finance and asset management at Columbia University. We look forward to his guidance and wise counsel.

The pages that follow describe in more detail the Institute’s research programs, events, and additional enterprises. I hope the readers of this report will better appreciate the scope and intensity of our efforts and will be interested in exploring them further.

As the activities of the Levy Institute continue to expand and diversify, the encouragement and support of numerous individuals in the academy and the public and private sectors have become increasingly crucial to our success. I want to express my sincere thanks to our supporters, those who review our research, the members of our Board of Governors, and the president and trustees of Bard College. Finally, a word of appreciation and admiration to the Institute’s scholars and staff for their tireless efforts and willingness to contribute their talents toward fulfilling the Institute’s ambitious goals.

DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, President
The Levy Institute’s publications program forms the main pillar of its public education and outreach activities. In an effort to raise the level of public debate on a broad spectrum of economic matters, the Levy Institute publishes research findings, conference proceedings, policy discussions and analyses, and other material. Publications are aimed at academic, general, and policymaking audiences.

**Working Papers**—in-progress research by Levy Institute scholars and conference participants. These documents cover areas of the Institute’s research programs, such as the macroeconomic performance of the U.S. and world economies, the effects of wealth distribution on living standards, and the impact that gender disparity has on the economy.

**Strategic Analysis**—reports based on Levy Institute macroeconomic models. These publications analyze U.S. economic performance and assess various policies in the light of forecasts produced by the Levy models. The broad outlook and specific assumptions employed in these models allow for the development of alternative economic policies on the basis of information often unavailable to policymakers from other research institutes.

**Public Policy Briefs**—examinations of the policy aspects of contemporary economic issues. These texts focus on the consequences of those economic programs that are of significance in the formation of public policy, for example, government spending on the aging population.

**Public Policy Brief Highlights**—condensed statements of the basic arguments and recommendations contained within Public Policy Briefs.

**Policy Notes**—short articles by Levy Institute scholars and other contributors, presenting up-to-date research conclusions or policy statements on a wide range of topics. Policy Notes are designed to reach policymakers, as well as business and general audiences.

**Report**—a quarterly newsletter designed to reach a diverse, general audience interested in policy matters. It includes summaries of new publications, synopses of conferences and other events, information on Levy Institute activities, interviews with prominent scholars and public officials who can provide insights into current topics, and editorials by members of the Levy Institute research staff.

**Summary**—published three times a year and designed to reach an academic audience. The Summary reports on current research by providing synopses of new publications, special features on continuing research projects, and overviews of Levy Institute events.

**Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being (LIMEW) reports**—a series of statistical reports on the Levy Institute’s own gauge of the ways in which three key institutions (market, state, and household) mediate access to the goods and services produced in a modern market economy.

**Conference, symposium, and forum proceedings**—summaries of presentations and discussion sessions.
The Levy Institute book series

Monetary Economics: An Integrated Approach to Credit, Money, Income, Production and Wealth
WYNNE GODLEY AND MARC LAVOIE
Palgrave Macmillan, 2007

Government Spending on the Elderly
DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, ED.
Palgrave Macmillan, 2007

International Perspectives on Household Wealth
EDWARD N. WOLFF, ED.
Edward Elgar Publishing, 2006

The Distributional Effects of Government Spending and Taxation
DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, ED.
Palgrave Macmillan, 2006

The Levy Institute website—with information on research projects, publications, scholars, and upcoming events, the website provides a critical means of outreach to the global community. Full-text versions of all Levy Institute publications can be downloaded or ordered from the website. Audio archives of past conferences and registration information for future events are also available.

The Levy Institute website averages 25,000 hits per day (nearly three-quarters of a million hits per month). The source of those hits underscores the international character of the community served by the site; visitors from 49 countries use the website to access Levy Institute publications and information. Those countries include the United States, Sweden, Russia, the Republic of South Africa, the United Kingdom, Canada, the People’s Republic of China, Germany, France, the Netherlands, Brazil, Japan, South Korea, Australia, Turkey, Italy, Spain, Singapore, Norway, Switzerland, Belgium, India, Greece, Mexico, Denmark, Finland, Argentina, Austria, Taiwan, the Philippines, Poland, Ireland, Slovenia, United Arab Emirates, and Thailand.
RESEARCH PROGRAMS

PROGRAM 1
THE STATE OF THE U.S. AND WORLD ECONOMIES

The central focus in this program area is the use of Levy Institute macroeconomic models in generating strategic analyses of the U.S. and world economies. The outcomes of alternative scenarios are projected and analyzed, with the results—published as Strategic Analysis reports—serving to help policymakers understand the implications of various policy options.

The Levy Institute macroeconomic models, created by Distinguished Scholar Wynne Godley, are accounting based. The U.S. model employs a complete and consistent system (in that all sectors “sum up,” with no unaccounted leakages) of stocks and flows (such as income, production, and wealth). The world model is a “closed” system, in which 11 trading blocs—of which the United States, China, Japan, and Western Europe are four—are represented. This model is based on a matrix in which each bloc’s imports are described in terms of exports from the other 10 blocs. From this information, and using alternative assumptions (e.g., growth rates, trade shares, and energy demands and supplies), trends are identified and patterns of trade and production analyzed.

The projections derived from the models are not presented as short-term forecasts. The aim is to display, based on careful analysis of the recent past, what it seems reasonable to expect if current trends, policies, and relationships continue. To inform policy, it is not necessary to establish that a particular projection will come to pass, but only that it is something that must be given serious consideration as a possibility. The usefulness of such analyses is strategic: they can serve to warn policymakers of potential dangers and serve as a guide to policy instruments that are, or should be made, available to deal with those dangers, should they arise.

The Minskyan view holds that the increasing availability of credit and the proliferation of new financial products represent the unsustainable upward phase of a potentially unstable cycle.

—From Cracks in the Foundations of Growth
This Strategic Analysis provides a retrospective view of U.S. growth in the last 10 years, showing that the authors’ previous work, grounded in the linkages between growth and the financial balances of the private, public, and foreign sectors of the economy, has proven a useful contribution to public discussion. The analysis reviews recent events in the U.S. housing and financial markets to obtain a likely scenario for the evolution of household spending. It argues that a significant drop in borrowing is likely to take place in the coming quarters, with severe consequences for growth and unemployment, unless (1) the U.S. dollar is allowed to continue its fall and thus complete the recovery in the U.S. external imbalance, and (2) fiscal policy shifts its course—as it did in the 2001 recession.
The collapse in the subprime mortgage market, along with multiple signals of distress in the broader housing market, has already drawn forth a large body of comment. Some people think the upheaval will turn out to be contagious, causing a major slowdown, or even a recession, later in 2007. Others believe that the turmoil will be contained, and that the U.S. economy will recover quite rapidly and resume the steady growth it has enjoyed during the last four years or so.

Yet, no participants in the public discussion, so far as we know, have framed their views in the context of a formal model that would enable them to draw well-argued conclusions (however conditional) about the magnitude and timing of the impact of recent events on the overall economy in the medium term—not just the next few months.

Can Global Imbalances Continue? Policies for the U.S. Economy
DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, GENNARO ZEZZA, GREG HANNSGEN
Strategic Analysis, November 2006

The most important issue facing economic policymakers in the United States and abroad is the prospect of a growth recession in the United States, linked to the imbalances in the U.S. current account, government, and private sector deficits. The current account balance, which is a negative addition to U.S. aggregate demand, is now likely to be above 6.5 percent of GDP and has been rising steadily for some time. The government balance has improved, again giving no stimulus to demand, which has, therefore, relied entirely on a large and growing private sector deficit.

The analysis suggests that a necessary and sufficient condition to address this problem, without dire consequences for unemployment and growth, is that net export demand grow by a sufficient amount. For this to happen, three conditions need to be satisfied: foreign saving has to fall, especially in Europe and East Asia; U.S. saving has to rise; and some mechanism, such as a change in relative prices, must be put in place in order to help the previous two phenomena translate into an improvement in the U.S. balance of trade.

Can the Growth in the U.S. Current Account Deficit Be Sustained? The Growing Burden of Servicing Foreign-owned U.S. Debt
DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, EDWARD CHILCOTE, GENNARO ZEZZA
Strategic Analysis, May 2006

Can the growth in the U.S. current account deficit be sustained? How does the flow of deficits feed the stock of debt? And how will the burden of servicing this debt affect future deficits and economic growth? These are some of the questions addressed in this Strategic Analysis.

The U.S. current account deficit has been steadily growing since the early 1990s. By the end of 2005, it stood at almost 7 percent of GDP. The deficit increased from $185.4 billion in the third quarter of 2005 to $224.9 billion in the fourth quarter. The U.S. current account deficit rose from $668.1 billion in 2004 to $804.9 billion in 2005, an increase of more than 20 percent. After years of current account deficits, U.S. foreign liabilities now exceed U.S. foreign assets by nearly $2.5 trillion. Yet, despite the deterioration in the U.S. position, income on foreign assets almost matches the income on foreign liabilities. Because net income flows to the United States remain neutral, the burden of servicing the external debt appears inconsequential to some. But appearances can be misleading. It is possible to take issue with the view that just because income flows
are currently neutral, there is little reason for concern. If interest rates continue to rise, the current account deficit will continue to worsen. This Strategic Analysis, which focuses on the cost of funding debt and on the structure of U.S. assets relative to U.S. liabilities, finds that temporary policy measures have masked the future costs of servicing foreign-owned U.S. debt.

**Are Housing Prices, Household Debt, and Growth Sustainable?**

**DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, EDWARD CHILCOTE, GENNARO ZEZZA**

Strategic Analysis, January 2006

Rising home prices and low interest rates have fueled the recent surge in mortgage borrowing and enabled consumers to spend at high rates relative to their income. Low interest rates have counterbalanced the growth in debt and acted to dampen the growth in household debt-service burdens. As past Levy Institute Strategic Analyses have pointed out, these trends are not sustainable: household spending relative to income cannot grow indefinitely.

**PUBLIC POLICY BRIEFS**

**Cracks in the Foundations of Growth: What Will the Housing Debacle Mean for the U.S. Economy?**

**DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, GREG HANNSGEN, GENNARO ZEZZA**

Public Policy Brief No. 90, 2007 (Highlights, No. 90A)

With economic growth having cooled to 0.7 percent in the first quarter of 2007, the economy can ill afford a slump in consumption by the American household. But it now appears that the household sector could finally give in to the pressures of rising gasoline prices, a weakening home market, and a large debt burden. The signals are still mixed; for example, while April’s retail sales numbers caused concern, May’s were much improved, and so was the ISM manufacturing index for June. Consumption growth indicates a slowdown. This Public Policy Brief examines the American household and its economic fortunes, concentrating on how falling home prices might hamper economic growth, and possibly lead to a full-blown financial crisis.

**U.S. Household Deficit Spending: A Rendezvous with Reality**

**ROBERT W. PARENTEAU**

Public Policy Brief No. 88, 2006 (Highlights, No. 88A)

Over the past decade, deficit spending by U.S. households has supported the U.S. economy. Research Associate Robert W. Parenteau analyzes the financial balance of U.S. households and finds that the pace of deficit spending is likely to stall and, possibly, reverse course. This reversion will jeopardize U.S. profit and economic growth, as well as the growth of countries dependent on export-led development strategies. His research supports the position of other Levy Institute scholars who have urged policymakers to recognize the consequences of current imbalances in the U.S. economy.
The theory of comparative advantage states that there are gains from trade for the global economy as a whole. Research Associate Thomas I. Palley observes that comparative advantage is driven by technology, which can be influenced by human action and policy. These associations have huge implications for the distribution of gains from trade and raise concerns about the future impact of international trade on the U.S. economy. Recent works by Ralph Gomory, William Baumol, and Paul Samuelson use pure trade theory to question the distribution of trade gains across countries over time and to challenge commonly held beliefs. These three economic theorists identify a new topic: what is the dynamic evolution of comparative advantage and how has it impacted the distribution of gains from trade, which depends on changing global demand and supply conditions?

The Fed must . . .
continue to respond
taggressively to shocks
that have potentially
persistent adverse
effects on both
inflation and real
activity.

—Frederic S. Mishkin, Federal Reserve Board, speaking at the Hyman P. Minsky Conference on the State of the U.S. and World Economies
Debt and Lending: A Cri de Coeur
WYNNE GODLEY, GENNARO ZEZZA
Policy Note 2006/4

Many papers published by the Levy Institute during the last few years have emphasized that the U.S. economy has relied too much on the growth of lending to the private sector to offset the negative effect on aggregate demand of the growing current account deficit. Yet, this centrally important point is largely absent from public discussion. People have generally been concerned with related but essentially different threats, for example, the possibility of a fall in house prices, a potentially excessive burden of interest and debt repayments on personal income, or a disorderly collapse in the dollar exchange rate.

In this Policy Note, Distinguished Scholar Wynne Godley and Research Scholar Gennaro Zezza observe that the path of lending, rather than debt, may turn out to be of decisive importance for the medium-term future of the U.S. economy—that even moderate (and highly plausible) assumptions about a slowdown of the path of debt have extremely strong and unpleasant implications for the path of lending and the growth of the economy.

Twin Deficits and Sustainability
L. RANDALL WRAY
Policy Note 2006/3

In the mid to late 1980s, the U.S. economy simultaneously produced—for the first time in the post–World War II period—huge federal budget deficits and large current account deficits. Together, these were known as the “twin deficits.” This situation generated much debate and hand-wringing, most of which focused on supposed “crowding out” effects. Many claimed that the budget deficit was soaking up private saving and leaving too little for domestic investment. They also claimed that the “twin” current account deficit was soaking up foreign saving. The posited result would be higher interest rates and, therefore, lower economic growth, as domestic spending—especially on business investment and real estate construction—was depressed. Further, the government debt and foreign debt would burden future generations of Americans, who would have to make interest payments and eventually retire the debt. The promulgated solution was to promote domestic saving by cutting federal government spending and private consumption. Many pointed to Japan’s high personal-saving rates as a model of the proper way to run an economy.

The Fiscal Facts: Public and Private Debts and the Future of the American Economy
JAMES K. GALBRAITH
Policy Note 2006/2

Today’s federal budget deficits are a preoccupation of many American citizens and more than a few political leaders. Is the American government going bankrupt? Does our fiscal condition warrant radical surgery, as some now prescribe? Or, are we in such deep trouble that there is no plausible route of escape? Senior Scholar James K. Galbraith’s answers to all of these questions are in the negative. The American government is not going bankrupt and will not go bankrupt. Legally, of course, it cannot. Individuals, companies, and municipalities can go bankrupt, but sovereign states cannot. The application of the word bankrupt to the government of the United States is gratuitous and inflammatory.
WORKING PAPERS

The Effects of a Declining Housing Market on the U.S. Economy
DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU, GREG HANNSGEN, GENNARO ZEZZA

A Simplified “Benchmark” Stock-flow Consistent (SFC) Post-Keynesian Growth Model
CLAUDIO H. DOS SANTOS, GENNARO ZEZZA
Working Paper No. 503, June 2007

Fiscal Policy in a Stock-flow Consistent (SFC) Model
WYNNE GODLEY, MARC LAVOIE

Demand Constraints and Big Government
L. RANDALL WRAY

Global Imbalances, Bretton Woods II, and Euroland’s Role in All This
JÖRG BIBOW
Working Paper No. 486, December 2006

A Random Walk Down Maple Lane? A Critique of Neoclassical Consumption Theory with Reference to Housing Wealth
GREG HANNSGEN

Prolegomena to Realistic Monetary Macroeconomics: A Theory of Intelligible Sequences
WYNNE GODLEY, MARC LAVOIE
Working Paper No. 441, February 2006
In the United States, a fiscal policy shift toward greater deficits and innovations in financial markets that facilitated household spending have worked to lower national saving relative to domestic investment.

—Donald L. Kohn, speaking at the Hyman P. Minsky Conference on the State of the U.S. and World Economies

**PROGRAM 2**

**MONETARY POLICY AND FINANCIAL STRUCTURE**

This program explores the structure of markets and institutions operating in the financial sector. Research builds on the work of the late Distinguished Scholar Hyman P. Minsky—notably, his financial instability hypothesis—and explores the institutional, regulatory, and market arrangements that contribute to financial instability. Research also examines policies—such as changes to the regulatory structure and the development of new types of institutions—necessary to contain instability.

Recent research has concentrated on the structure of financial markets and institutions, with the aim of determining whether financial systems are still subject to the risk of failing. Issues explored include the extent to which domestic and global economic events (such as the crises in Asia and Latin America) coincide with the types of instabilities Minsky describes, and involve analyses of his policy recommendations for alleviating instability and other economic problems. Other subjects covered include the distributional effects of monetary policy, central banking and structural issues related to the European Monetary Union, and the role of finance in small business investment.

**RESEARCH GROUP**

Dimitri B. Papadimitriou, President
James K. Galbraith, Senior Scholar
Jan Kregel, Senior Scholar
L. Randall Wray, Senior Scholar
Philip Arestis, Senior Scholar
Jörg Bibow, Research Associate
Thomas I. Palley, Research Associate
Willem Thorbecke, Research Associate

Donald L. Kohn, Federal Reserve Board
The U.S. Credit Crunch of 2007: A Minsky Moment
CHARLES J. WHALEN
Public Policy Brief No. 92, 2007 (Highlights, No. 92A)

It is now clear that most economists underestimated the economic impact of the credit crunch that has shaken U.S. financial markets since at least mid-July. A credit crunch is an economic condition in which loans and investment capital are difficult to obtain. In such a period, banks and other lenders become wary of issuing loans, so the price of borrowing rises, often to the point where deals simply do not get done. Financial economist Hyman P. Minsky (1919–1996) was the foremost expert on such crunches, and his ideas remain relevant to understanding the current situation.

This brief demonstrates that the U.S. credit crunch of 2007 can aptly be described as a “Minsky moment.” It begins by taking a look at aspects of this crunch, then examines the notion of a Minsky moment, along with the main ideas informing Minsky’s perspective on economic instability. At the heart of that viewpoint is what Minsky called the “financial instability hypothesis,” which derives from an interpretation of John Maynard Keynes’s work and underscores the value of an evolving and institutionally grounded alternative to conventional economics. The brief then returns to the 2007 credit crunch and identifies some of the key elements relevant to fleshing out a Minsky-oriented account of that event.

The Economics of Outsourcing: How Should Policy Respond?
THOMAS I. PALLEY
Public Policy Brief No. 89, 2007 (Highlights, No. 89A)

According to Research Associate Thomas I. Palley, global outsourcing represents a new economic challenge that calls for a new set of institutions. In this brief, he expands upon the problems of off-shore outsourcing as outlined by him in Public Policy Brief No. 86 (Rethinking Trade and Trade Policy; page 10) and focuses on the issue’s microeconomic foundations. He argues that outsourcing is a central element of globalization and is best understood as a new form of competition. Palley urges policymakers to understand the economic basis of outsourcing so as to develop effective policies and emphasizes the necessity for enhancing national competitiveness and establishing new rules that govern the nature of global competition.

The Fallacy of the Revised Bretton Woods Hypothesis: Why Today’s International Financial System Is Unsustainable
THOMAS I. PALLEY
Public Policy Brief No. 85, 2006 (Highlights, No. 85A)

The stability of the international financial system is in doubt. Analysis of the system has focused mainly on the sustainability of financing the U.S. trade deficit and has failed to understand the microeconomics of transactions within the system. According to this brief by Thomas I. Palley, the international financial system is unsustainable for reasons of demand, not supply. He recommends a global system of managed exchange rates to replace the current system before it crashes, along with the U.S. economy.

East Asian economies are pursuing export-led growth and running huge trade surpluses with the United States by actively pursuing policies aimed at maintaining undervalued exchange
rates. Their governments continue to accumulate U.S. financial assets in order to support and stabilize the international financial system. While East Asian policymakers are correct in their belief that they can improve economic outcomes through exchange rate intervention, the system is undermining the structure of income and aggregate demand and eroding U.S. manufacturing capacity.

Can Basel II Enhance Financial Stability? A Pessimistic View
L. RANDALL WRAY
Public Policy Brief No. 84, 2006 (Highlights, No. 84A)

Even as the United States enjoys an economic expansion, there is an undercurrent of concern among economic analysts who follow financial markets. Some feel that the expansion of the credit-derivatives markets poses the threat of a crisis similar to the Long-Term Capital Management debacle of 1998. Credit derivatives allow banks to share risks with holders of the derivatives, which are often mutual funds and other nonbank financial institutions. The Basel II Accord, now being implemented in many countries, is hailed as a good form of protection against the risk of a series of bank failures of the type that might cause problems in the derivatives markets. Basel II represents a more sophisticated and complex version of the original Basel Accord of 1992, which set minimum capital ratios for various types of bank assets.

Reforming Deposit Insurance: The Case to Replace FDIC Protection with Self-Insurance
PANOS KONSTAS
Public Policy Brief No. 83, 2006 (Highlights, No. 83A)

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) currently insures bank deposit balances up to $100,000. According to some observers, statutory protection creates a moral hazard for financial institutions because it allows banks to engage in risky activities. As an example, moral hazard was a key contributor to huge losses suffered when thrift institutions failed during the 1980s.

This brief outlines a plan to reduce the risk of government losses by replacing insured deposits with uninsured deposits and eliminating some of the costs of deposit insurance. The plan proposes a self-insured depositor system that places an intermediary between the lender (saver) and borrower (bank) in the credit-flow chain. The FDIC would guarantee saver loans and allow the intermediary to borrow at the risk-free interest rate if the intermediary's bank deposit is statutorily defined outside the realm of FDIC insurance. The risk is therefore transferred to depositors (intermediaries), thus creating incentives for depositors to earn a rate of return at least equal to the cost of borrowing plus a risk premium based on the risk profile of banks.

POLICY NOTE

Credit Derivatives and Financial Fragility
EDWARD CHILCOTE
Policy Note 2006/1

On September 15, the Federal Reserve convened an unusual meeting of 14 large banks dealing in credit derivatives. The last such meeting occurred on September 16, 1998, in secret. At that time, a major financial institution was melting down and threatening to take some large banks with it. This time, the banks met to discuss the same topic: the clearing of transactions in the credit-derivatives market.
Financialization: What It Is and Why It Matters
THOMAS I. PALLEY

The Natural Instability of Financial Markets
JAN KREGEL

Lessons from the Subprime Meltdown
L. RANDALL WRAY

Nurkse and the Role of Finance in Development Economics
JAN KREGEL
Working Paper No. 520, November 2007

Endogenous Money: Structuralist and Horizontalist
L. RANDALL WRAY

The Fed's Real Reaction Function: Monetary Policy, Inflation, Unemployment, Inequality—and Presidential Politics
JAMES K. GALBRAITH, OLIVIER GIOVANNONI, ANN J. RUSSO
Working Paper No. 511, August 2007

The Term Structure of Interest Rates, 1969–2006

![Graph showing the term structure of interest rates from 1969 to 2006.]

The yield curve, or term structure, of interest rates measured as the difference between a 30-day Treasury Bill rate and a 10-year bond rate. Sources: National Bureau of Economic Research and Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts; authors’ calculations. From “The Fed’s Real Reaction Function”

A Post-Keynesian View of Central Bank Independence, Policy Targets, and the Rules-versus-Discretion Debate
L. RANDALL WRAY
Working Paper No. 510, August 2007
Fixed and Flexible Exchange Rates and Currency Sovereignty
CLAUDIO SARDONI, L. RANDALL WRAY

The Balance Sheet Approach to Financial Crises in Emerging Markets
GIOVANNI COZZI, JAN TOPOROWSKI

Expensive Living: The Greek Experience under the Euro
THEODORE PELAGIDIS, TAUN N. TOAY
Working Paper No. 484, December 2006

Fisher’s Theory of Interest Rates and the Notion of “Real”: A Critique
ÉRIC TYMOIGNE
Working Paper No. 483, December 2006

An Inquiry into the Nature of Money: An Alternative to the Functional Approach
ÉRIC TYMOIGNE
Working Paper No. 481, November 2006

On Lower-bound Traps: A Framework for the Analysis of Monetary Policy in the “Age” of Central Banks
ALFONSO PALACIO-VERA
Working Paper No. 478, November 2006

The “New Consensus” View of Monetary Policy: A New Wicksellian Connection?
GIUSEPPE FONTANA
Working Paper No. 476, October 2006

How the Maastricht Regime Fosters Divergence as Well as Fragility
JÖRG BIBOW

Divergent European Wage Inflation Trends, with Germany as the Outlier, 1999–2005

---

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Economic Outlook No. 78, December 2005. From "How the Maastricht Regime Fosters Divergence as Well as Fragility"
Banking, Finance, and Money: A Socioeconomics Approach
L. RANDALL WRAY

Why Central Banks (and Money) “Rule the Roost”
CLAUDIO SARDONI

Asset Prices, Financial Fragility, and Central Banking
ÉRIC TYMOIGNE

The Minskyan System, Part III: System Dynamics Modeling of a Stock Flow–Consistent Minskyan Model
ÉRIC TYMOIGNE

The Minskyan System, Part II: Dynamics of the Minskyan Analysis and the Financial Fragility Hypothesis
ÉRIC TYMOIGNE

The Minskyan System, Part I: Properties of the Minskyan Analysis and How to Theorize and Model a Monetary Production Economy
ÉRIC TYMOIGNE

Extending Minsky’s Classifications of Fragility to Government and the Open Economy
L. RANDALL WRAY

Tinbergen Rules the Taylor Rule
THOMAS R. MICHL
Working Paper No. 444, March 2006

Keynes’s Approach to Money: An Assessment after 70 Years
L. RANDALL WRAY

Speculation, Liquidity Preference, and Monetary Circulation
KORKUT A. ERTÜRK
Economic inequality has been a prominent and perennial concern in economics and public policy. The rise in inequality that occurred during the 1970s and early 1980s stimulated interest in the study of its causes and consequences. Experience from the 1990s suggests that economic growth and prosperity no longer dramatically reduce economic inequality. The persistent inequalities within nations and across nations raise several key issues that demand scholarship and innovative policies to aid in their resolution.

Recognizing this, the Levy Institute has maintained, since its inception, an active research program on the distribution of earnings, income, and wealth. Research in this area includes studies on the economic well-being of the elderly, public and private pensions, well-being over the life course, the role of assets in economic well-being, and the determinants of the accumulation of wealth.

It is widely recognized that existing official measures of economic well-being need to be improved in order to generate accurate cross-sectional and intertemporal comparisons. The picture of economic well-being can vary significantly depending on the measure used. Alternative measures are also crucially important for the formulation and evaluation of a wide variety of social and economic policies. The Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being (LIMEW) and related research is aimed at bridging this gap.
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THE LEVY INSTITUTE MEASURE OF ECONOMIC WELL-BEING (LIMEW)

The LIMEW is informed by the view that three key institutions—the market, state, and household—mediate the access of the members of the household to the goods and services produced in a modern market economy. The magnitude of the access that can be exercised by the household is approximated by a well-being measure that reflects the resources that the household can command for facilitating current consumption or acquiring physical or financial assets. The three institutions form interdependent parts of an organic entity, and household economic well-being is fundamentally shaped by the complex functioning of this entity.

The LIMEW has two crucial characteristics. First, its focus is limited to components that can be converted into money equivalents. Second, it is a household-level measure that can be evaluated for households in different economic and demographic groups, such as those in different percentiles of the income distribution or those in different racial groups.

The LIMEW is constructed as the sum of the following components: base money income (gross money income less government cash transfers and property income), the value of certain employer-provided in-kind benefits, income from wealth, net government expenditures (transfers and public consumption net of taxes), and the value of household production. In the absence of an ideal, unified database to measure household economic well-being, the LIMEW is built using mainly information from income and employment surveys (e.g., the Annual Demographic Supplement of the Current Population Survey conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau), other surveys on wealth and time use, National Income and Product Accounts, and government agencies.

One strand of research related to the LIMEW focuses on the conceptual, methodological, and data problems involved in measuring economic well-being. Another line of research analyzes specific aspects of the level and distribution of economic well-being. The ultimate goals of the project are to provide LIMEW estimates for the United States and a few other countries, at regular intervals, and to relate the measure and its components to the changing economic and policy environment.
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Given the aging of the U.S. population, widening gap between rich and poor, and controversy surrounding Social Security, the economic welfare of the elderly is an extremely topical issue.

This report provides a new look at America’s elderly, and shows that official measures of well-being do not adequately reflect income from wealth and net government expenditures. Moreover, from 1989 to 2001, there was an extraordinary increase in income from nonhome wealth, as well as a widening gap, between the elderly and nonelderly, in net government expenditures. On the basis of the Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being—which is more comprehensive than conventional measures—the economic disadvantage of the elderly, relative to the nonelderly, appears less severe. The results suggest that government policies and programs that favor the elderly are misdirected. The authors advocate making more generous provisions for the nonelderly in existing social welfare programs.

The bottom line is that the economic disadvantage of the elderly appears to be much less severe when our more comprehensive measure of income is used.

—From How Well Off Are America’s Elderly?

This report argues that wealth is an integral aspect of economic well-being. The authors combine income and net worth in order to demonstrate the importance of wealth inequalities in shaping overall economic inequality and defining the disparities among population subgroups.

Conventional measures of household economic well-being do not adequately reflect the advantages of asset ownership or the disadvantages of financial liabilities. The authors find that the picture of economic well-being in the United States is quite different if the yardstick is the authors’ wealth-adjusted income measure, rather than the standard income measure.

Contribution to the Change in the Gini Coefficient between 1982 and 2000 (in Gini points)

Source: Survey of Consumer Finances public-use files. From Wealth and Economic Inequality
The Burden of Aging: Much Ado about Nothing, or Little to Do about Something?
L. RANDALL WRAY
Policy Note 2006/5

Demographers and economists agree that we are aging—individually and collectively, nationally and globally. An aging population results from the twin demographic forces of fewer children per family and longer life expectancy. Most experts recognize the burden that aging causes, as the number of retirees supported by each active worker rises. This trend is reinforced by the graying of the baby boom generation. However, burdens will continue to rise—albeit at a slower pace—even after the baby boomers are buried.

Given that the real burden will rise, is there anything we can begin to do today to attenuate that increase? The answer proposed by Senior Scholar L. Randall Wray is that we should essentially follow the same policy prescriptions that would make sense even if our society were not aging: (1) more human capital: more years of schooling, fewer dropouts, higher quality schooling, and enhanced apprenticeship and training programs; (2) more public investment: new and improved public infrastructure, better maintenance of existing infrastructure, and reduction of adverse environmental impacts; and (3) more private investment: new and improved private production facilities to enhance growth. The last item will almost certainly require maintenance of high aggregate demand today and over the near future.
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Recent Trends in Household Wealth in the United States: Rising Debt and the Middle-Class Squeeze
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Economic Perspectives on Aging
DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU
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Net Intergenerational Transfers from an Increase in Social Security Benefits
LI GAN, GUAN GONG, MICHAEL HURD
Working Paper No. 482, November 2006

European Welfare State Regimes and Their Generosity toward the Elderly
AXEL BÖRSCHE-SUPAN
Working Paper No. 479, November 2006

The Adequacy of Retirement Resources among the Soon-to-Retire, 1983–2001
EDWARD N. WOLFF
Working Paper No. 472, August 2006

Population Forecasts, Fiscal Policy, and Risk
SHRIPAD TULJAPURKAR
Working Paper No. 471, August 2006

Global Demographic Trends and Provisioning for the Future
L. RANDALL WRAY
Working Paper No. 468, August 2006

HYUNSUB KUM, EDWARD N. WOLFF, AJIT ZACHARIAS
Working Paper No. 466, August 2006

Differing Prospects for Women and Men: Young Old-Age, Old Old-Age, and Elder Care
LOIS B. SHAW

Working for a Good Retirement
BARBARA A. BUTRICA, KAREN E. SMITH, C. EUGENE STEUERLE

Wage Growth and the Measurement of Social Security’s Financial Condition
JAGADEESH GOKHALE
Working Paper No. 461, July 2006

How Does Household Production Affect Earnings Inequality? Evidence from the American Time Use Survey
HARLEY FRAZIS, JAY STEWART

Time and Money: Substitutes in Real Terms and Complements in Satisfactions
J. BONKE, M. DEDING, M. LAUSTEN


The Temporal Welfare State: A Cross-national Comparison
JAMES MAHMUD RICE, ROBERT E. GOODIN, ANTTI PARPO

Mean Difference between Post-government and Pre-government Discretionary Time among Parents in Two-earner Couples (hours per week)

![Graph showing mean difference between post-government and pre-government discretionary time among parents in two-earner couples for different countries.]

Sources: 2005 Luxembourg Income Study and Multinational Time Use Study; authors' calculations. From "The Temporal Welfare State"

Household Wealth and the Measurement of Economic Well-Being in the United States
EDWARD N. WOLFF, AJIT ZACHARIAS

Government Effects on the Distribution of Income: An Overview
DIMITRI B. PAPADIMITRIOU

Parental Child Care in Single Parent, Cohabiting, and Married Couple Families: Time Diary Evidence from the United States and the United Kingdom
CHARLENE M. KALENKOSKI, DAVID C. RIBAR, LESLIE S. STRATTON

Where Do They Find the Time? An Analysis of How Parents Shift and Squeeze Their Time around Work and Child Care
LYN CRAIG

Enhancing Livelihood Security through the National Employment Guarantee Act: Toward Effective Implementation of the Act
INDIRA HIRWAY
Working Paper No. 437, January 2006

Time to Eat: Household Production under Increasing Income Inequality
DANIEL S. HAMERMESH
Working Paper No. 434, January 2006

The conservative welfare-gender regime of Germany is concerned with promoting traditional family structure, not with gender equality—that is, with increasing the discretionary time for stay-at-home mothers rather than that for two-earner couples with children.

—From "The Temporal Welfare State"
While gender inequalities have diminished in some aspects of life, they remain deeply rooted in others. In no country around the world do men and women enjoy equality in economic and political participation, earnings, educational attainment, general health, and physical security. These gender gaps undermine economic growth and development and are costly to individuals and households.

The Levy Institute’s Gender Equality and the Economy (GEE) program focuses on the ways in which economic processes and policies affect gender equality and examines the influence of gender inequalities on economic outcomes. GEE’s goal is to stimulate reexamination of key economic concepts, models, and indicators—with a particular view to reformulating policy. It offers a broad view of what an economy is and how it functions, bringing into the analysis not only paid work, but also the unpaid work (e.g., caring for families and community volunteerism) that enables the market economy to function. Ultimately, the program seeks to contribute knowledge that improves women’s status and helps them realize their rights in the United States and other countries.

RESEARCH
GEE research concentrates on three primary themes: gender equality and public finance; gender dimensions of macroeconomic and international economic policy; and gender equality, poverty, and well-being in national and international perspective. Public finance (which includes taxation, spending on goods and services, provision of income transfers from governments to households, and government borrowing and debt) has the potential to reduce or increase gender inequalities. Yet, very little research exists on the ways that various public finance policies influence gender inequality within and across countries and over time. How much do tax and transfer policies offset market-based gender-income inequalities? Does a greater voice for women in public policy result in changes in the size and composition of government budgets? What are the gender biases of taxation and tax-policy reforms?
In the past decade, a growing body of work has explored how macroeconomic outcomes are affected by gender inequalities, and how gender inequalities are influenced by macroeconomic policies. Although gender equality is not the focus of macroeconomic policy, such policies cannot be assumed to be gender neutral. Does a requirement to balance budgets make it more difficult to reduce gender inequality? Is a focus on public investment and full employment sufficient for achieving gender equality? How can economic growth and gender equality be made compatible? Can gender equality improve the employment/inflation trade-off?

The Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being (see page 18) was established in order to improve existing official measures of economic well-being and allow for accurate cross-sectional and intertemporal comparisons. GEE will enhance this area of the Levy Institute’s work by developing research on the intersection of gender inequality and other forms of deprivation. Research will include the reexamination of U.N. indicators for measuring gender inequality and women’s empowerment, new analyses of time-use data, and the preparation of recommendations for the refinement of existing measures and/or the development of alternative indicators that can be used in policy formulation.
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**The Right to a Job, the Right Types of Projects: Employment Guarantee Policies from a Gender Perspective**

**RANIA ANTONOPOULOS**


**Female Land Rights, Crop Specialization, and Productivity in Paraguayan Agriculture**

**THOMAS MASTERSON**


**Land rights for women are key determinants in women’s and household welfare in rural settings throughout the developing world.**

—From “Female Land Rights, Crop Specialization, and Productivity in Paraguayan Agriculture”

**Gender Disparities in Employment and Aggregate Profitability in the United States**

**MELISSA MAHONEY, AJIT ZACHARIAS**

Working Paper No. 496, April 2007
Share of Women in Total Employment and Hours Worked, 1982–1997 (percent)

Sources: Public-use version of the Annual Demographic Survey (March CPS Supplement), Bureau of Labor Statistics/Bureau of the Census; authors’ calculations. From “Gender Disparities in Employment and Aggregate Profitability in the United States”

**Gender Inequalities in Allocating Time to Paid and Unpaid Work: Evidence from Bolivia**
MARCELO MEDEIROS, RAFAEL GUERREIRO OSÓRIO, JOANA COSTA
Working Paper No. 495, April 2007

**State, Difference, and Diversity: Toward a Path of Expanded Democracy and Gender Equality**
RANIA ANTONOPOULOS, FRANCISCO COS-MONTIEL

**The Financial Requirements of Achieving Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment**
CAREN A. GROWN, CHANDRIKA BAHADUR, JESSIE HANDBURY, DIANE ELSON
Working Paper No. 467, August 2006

**Quick Impact Initiatives for Gender Equality: A Menu of Options**
CAREN A. GROWN

**Feminist-Kaleckian Macroeconomic Policy for Developing Countries**
STEPHANIE SEGUINO, CAREN A. GROWN

**Importing Equality or Exporting Jobs? Competition and Gender Wage and Employment Differentials in U.S. Manufacturing**
EBRU KONGAR
PROGRAM 5
EMPLOYMENT POLICY AND LABOR MARKETS

In 2001 the U.S. economy entered a seventh consecutive year of expansion and unemployment rates were at 30-year lows. Yet not all shared in the employment boom. Levy Institute research has found that between 1995 and 1999, only 217,000 jobs—of the more than 13 million created—went to the half of the population holding a high school degree or less; the remaining jobs went to those with at least some college education. Today, in an ever-tightening economy, there are more than 16 million unemployed—10 percent of the labor force—and four job-seekers for each available job. In addition, there are roughly 17 million full-time workers whose wages place them at or below the official poverty line. Clearly, there is room for improvement on the jobs front.

In response to this problem, Levy Institute scholars have proposed a full-employment, or job-opportunity, program that would employ all who are willing to work and increase flexibility between economic sectors, thereby lowering the social and economic costs of unemployment. This program is preferable to proposed alternatives such as a reduction of the workweek or employment subsidies, neither of which is sure to raise employment—and both may have serious side effects. Other labor market policies studied by Levy Institute scholars include the effects of technology on earnings, and the effects of an increase in the minimum wage on hiring practices and earnings.

The focus of any antipoverty program would have to be tilted toward jobs, not transfers and welfare.
—From “Minsky’s Approach to Employment Policy and Poverty”
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Unemployment in the European Union (EU) is a serious problem that threatens to disrupt the integration of accession countries, the character of individual countries, and the continued existence of the EU. Senior Scholar James K. Galbraith sets forth a concrete strategy of earnings convergence for the EU that is compatible with a high-employment strategy and achievable productivity growth. He finds that countries and regions that are more egalitarian enjoy more employment—a relationship in accord with correct principles of economics. The paradox is that European ideals require convergence, but European policy imposes divergence, which, if rigorously pursued, will result in declining relative pay rates in the poorer regions.

Distribution of Growth Rates of Real Average Annual Pay Required to Meet Convergence Criteria between 2007 and 2042, by Region*

*excluding Denmark

From Maastricht 2042 and the Fate of Europe
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Promotion Nationale: Forty-Five Years of Experience of Public Works in Morocco
HIND JALAL

Public Employment and Women: The Impact of Argentina’s Jefes Program on Female Heads of Poor Households
PAVLINA R. TCHERNEVA, L. RANDALL WRAY
Working Paper No. 519, November 2007

What Are the Relative Macroeconomic Merits and Environmental Impacts of Direct Job Creation and Basic Income Guarantees?
PAVLINA R. TCHERNEVA
Working Paper No. 517, October 2007

Minsky’s Approach to Employment Policy and Poverty: Employer of Last Resort and the War on Poverty
L. RANDALL WRAY

The Continuing Legacy of John Maynard Keynes
L. RANDALL WRAY

On Various Ways of Measuring Unemployment, with Applications to Switzerland
JOSEPH DEUTSCH, YVES FLÜCKIGER, JACQUES SILBER
Working Paper No. 509, August 2007

Implementation of the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act in India: Spatial Dimensions and Fiscal Implications
PINAKI CHAKRABORTY

ELR-led Economic Development: A Plan for Tunisia
FADHIEL KABOUB

Employment Guarantee Programs: A Survey of Theories and Policy Experiences
FADHIEL KABOUB

When Knowledge Is an Asset: Explaining the Organizational Structure of Large Law Firms
JAMES B. REBITZER, LOWELL J. TAYLOR
Working Paper No. 477, October 2006

The problem of unemployment in Europe is vexed by a theory-driven predisposition to blame it on defects of labor market structure and then to go out in search of particular rigidities to blame.
—From Maastricht 2042 and the Fate of Europe
Capital Stock and Unemployment: Searching for the Missing Link
ALFONSO PALACIO-VERA, ANA ROSA MARTÍNEZ-CAÑETE, ELENA MÁRQUEZ DE LA CRUZ,
ÍNÉS PÉREZ-SOBA AGUILAR
Working Paper No. 475, August 2006

Retiree Health Benefit Coverage and Retirement
JAMES MARTON, STEPHEN A. WOODBURY
Working Paper No. 470, August 2006

The Changing Role of Employer Pensions: Tax Expenditures, Costs, and Implications for Middle-Class Elderly
TERESA GHIARUCCI
Working Paper No. 469, August 2006
This program is led by Senior Scholar Joel Perlmann, who guides a research initiative, “Ethnicity and Economy in America—Past and Present,” that focuses on the processes by which immigrants and their descendants are assimilated into U.S. economic life. The Levy Institute believes that this work will shed light on current policy issues related to immigration, such as international competitiveness, the labor market, income distribution, and poverty.

The program comprises three research projects. The first, “The Jews circa 1900: Social Structure in Europe and America,” focuses on social characteristics that help to explain the rapid socioeconomic rise of eastern European Jewish immigrants who entered the American economy at the turn of the 20th century. Census data that were previously unavailable or not machine readable are used to examine social and economic characteristics of eastern European Jews who emigrated to the United States, as well as those who remained in Europe.

The second project, “Assimilation and the Third Generation,” explores the assimilation of immigrants into the socioeconomic mainstream of the United States, and the social and economic experiences of their American-born children. Special attention is paid to a few large groups whose absorption seemed especially slow and painful during the first and second generations: Irish immigrants who arrived in the mid 19th century, Italians and Poles who immigrated between 1880 and 1920, Mexicans who arrived throughout much of the 20th century, and southern-born blacks who migrated north. Census data are used in new ways in order to identify and trace second- and third-generation Americans.

The third project, “The New Immigration’s Second Generation,” conducted by Perlmann and Research Associate Roger Waldinger, reviews literature that deals with the economic progress and difficulties faced by children of today’s immigrants (i.e., at the turn of the 21st century). Their experiences are compared with those faced by children of immigrants at the turn of the 20th century.

Themes of upward mobility and immigrant absorption are at the heart of American social history.

—Joel Perlmann, Italians Then, Mexicans Now
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American Jewish Opinion about the Future of the West Bank: A Reanalysis of American Jewish Committee Surveys
JOEL PERLMANN

The American Jewish Committee’s Annual Opinion Surveys: An Assessment of Sample Quality
JOEL PERLMANN

Who’s a Jew in an Era of High Intermarriage? Surveys, Operational Definitions, and the Contemporary American Context
JOEL PERLMANN

Two National Surveys of American Jews, 2000–01: A Comparison of the NJPS and AJIS
JOEL PERLMANN

Surveying American Jews and Their Views on Middle East Politics: The Current Situation and a Proposal for a New Approach
JOEL PERLMANN

The American Jewish Periphery: An Overview
JOEL PERLMANN
Working Paper No. 473, August 2006

The Local Geographic Origins of Russian-Jewish Immigrants, Circa 1900
JOEL PERLMANN
Working Paper No. 465, August 2006

Dissent and Discipline in Ben Gurion’s Labor Party: 1930–32
JOEL PERLMANN
Nearly all Levy Institute research focuses not only on economic analysis, but on the creation of possible strategies through which policymakers may solve the issue at hand. This program includes research on those macroeconomic policy areas most closely associated with public sector activities: monetary policy and financial institutions, federal budget policy, and the labor market. Examples of studies on monetary policy and financial institutions include explorations of the repercussions the euro’s introduction has had on monetary and fiscal policies and monetary institutions within the European Community; the effectiveness of monetary policy; and Minskyan analyses of the current economic problems in the United States, Japan, and Brazil. Examinations of federal budget policies cover such topics as the effects of budget surpluses on the economy, the need for fiscal expansion to combat economic torpor, and analyses of the Social Security and health care systems.

**FEDERAL BUDGET POLICY**

The demographic shift resulting from the aging of the baby boomer generation presents a number of potential dilemmas for policymakers. Whether a shrinking working-age population can support its own dependents, in addition to retirees, has led to debates about the increasing size of Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid budgets—now and in the future. Questions have been raised about whether these government programs can continue to function in the same manner, and achieve the same goals, as they do today. Will structural reform be necessary? Do we wish to provide the same, or a higher, level of support equally throughout the aging population? Should some, or all, benefits be “income tested”? What can be done today to offset the problems of the future?

---

*Dimitri B. Papadimitriou,* introduction to *Government Spending on the Elderly*

*Most analyses . . . confuse the difference between financial provisioning and real provisioning for retirees in the future.*
In aggregate terms, fiscal debates have turned from what to do about growing federal budget surpluses to what constitutes the necessary size and composition of a stimulus package. Some economists have argued that, by creating a wider pool of funds available for investment, “fiscal responsibility” resulted in greater access to investment funds by private sector firms, which, in turn, stimulated economic growth. Others contend that the unprecedented growth of the 1990s happened in spite of budget surpluses and that if the composition of private versus public funding had been more in balance, growth and employment would have expanded even further. These debates are related to those that surround the current demand shortfall and to calls for fiscal stimulus: if budget surpluses were the cause of economic growth, an argument can be made that fiscal stimulus should focus on investment-targeted tax cuts. If, however, surpluses were the result of economic growth, then demand-led fiscal policies, such as spending programs and tax cuts aimed broadly over the income distribution, should be the focus.

In responding to the above-listed issues, Levy Institute scholars have concentrated recent research on evaluating proposals that would alter the structure of Social Security to deal with future funding shortfalls, privatize any or all of the Social Security program, and restructure Medicaid financing to widen the availability of funding for long-term care. Other recent analyses deal with specific budgetary issues, such as tax-cut proposals and evaluation of the causes and effects of federal budget surpluses.

**EXPLORATIONS IN THEORY AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS**

On occasion, scholars at the Levy Institute conduct research that does not fall within a current program or general topic area. Such study might include examination of a subject of particular policy interest, empirical research that has grown out of work in a current program area, or initial exploration in an area being considered for a new research program. Recent studies have included those on Harrodian growth models, the economic consequences of German reunification, and campaign finance reform.
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The failure of the Doha Development Round of World Trade Organization (WTO) negotiations in July 2006 was the first major collapse of a multilateral trade round since World War II. Research Associate Thomas I. Palley sees the failure as an event that could mark the close of a 60-year era of trade policy largely centered on increasing market access and reducing tariffs, quotas, and subsidies. Doha’s demise represents an opportunity to challenge the intellectual dominance of the current WTO paradigm, to expose the failings of the neoliberal model of economic development, and to reposition the global trade debate.

Palley suggests the development of an alternative trade agenda in association with an exposition of the faulty economics of the existing policy paradigm. A critical element of the new agenda is the need to recognize that trade is an instrument, not the ultimate goal, of policy. The real policy goal is economic development in the context of a fair, inclusive, and politically acceptable globalization.

In today’s world, where technology and methods of production are highly mobile, winning at trade involves strategic policy. . . .

—From Globalization and the Changing Trade Debate
Land Rental and Sales Markets in Paraguay
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Productivity, Technical Efficiency, and Farm Size in Paraguayan Agriculture
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Methodology and Microeconomics in the Early Work of Hyman P. Minsky
JAN TOPOROWSKI
Working Paper No. 480, November 2006

On the Minskyan Business Cycle
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Working Paper No. 474, August 2006

Gibson's Paradox II
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Personality and Earnings
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Working Paper No. 443, February 2006
CONFERENCES AND SYMPOSIA

PROGRAM: THE STATE OF THE U.S. AND WORLD ECONOMIES

16TH ANNUAL HYMAN P. MINSKY CONFERENCE ON THE STATE OF THE U.S. AND WORLD ECONOMIES
GLOBAL IMBALANCES: PROSPECTS FOR THE U.S. AND WORLD ECONOMIES
April 19–20, 2007
The Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

The 2007 Minsky conference drew upon public discussions on the state of the U.S. and world economies in the context of prevailing economic trends and their implications. The presenters—top policymakers, economists, and analysts—addressed such topics as fiscal and monetary policies for continued growth and employment; currency market fluctuations and the consequent exchange-rate misalignments, as well as possible cures; and the U.S. household and trade deficits, their implications for growth and employment, and their effect on the conduct of monetary and fiscal policy.

Difficulties adhering to the U.S. “housing boom” were considered and a number of key macro-financial questions regarding the U.S. economy were posed, to wit: Is household deficit spending on a sustainable trajectory? Is the U.S. economy headed for a soft or hard landing? Is the new financial architecture an efficient risk distributor or an incentive distorter? Are intelligent responses based on coherent or incomplete macro and policy frameworks?

The United States’ role in the global marketplace was examined in view of the current international economic landscape. Further discussion centered on global expansion and global imbalances as they relate to the entry of India, China, and the former Soviet Union into the global market economy.
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This conference focused on those government policy initiatives that can create a safety net through public service employment for individuals who are ready, willing, and able to work but who find themselves in an economic environment that does not offer job opportunities.

Unemployment and involuntary “inactivity” are structural macroeconomic problems of both developed and developing economies. The negative effects of unemployment reach beyond the immediate economic losses to individuals and their families. The effects extend to the potential growth of the economy. Protracted periods of unemployment lead to multidimensional poverty, deterioration of communities, erosion of decent job conditions, and intolerance along racial and gender divides. There appears a connection, then, between the right to work and the role of government in guaranteeing employment. This connection ought to be part of the public policy dialogue.

The International Working Group on Gender, Macroeconomics, and International Economics (GEM-IWG), a global knowledge-sharing and capacity-building network, generously provided support for the conference by securing the participation and contributions of several GEM-IWG members.
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Program: Gender Equality and the Economy

Symposium
Gender Equality, Tax Policies, and Tax Reform
May 17–18, 2006
The Levy Economics Institute of Bard College

This symposium focused on the gender dimensions of tax policy and tax reforms in countries at different levels of development. Topics included gender biases in direct taxation (including biases in individual and joint filing) and the structure of exemptions, deductions, and allowances; gender biases in indirect taxation, including VAT and excise or sales taxes; the impact of personal income taxation on labor supply, household production, and time use; gender issues in tax reform and fiscal decentralization; and methodological issues in tax-burden and tax-incidence analysis from a gender perspective.

The symposium was convened as part of the Gender Equality and the Economy (GEE) program at The Levy Economics Institute. The program considers how economic processes and policies affect gender equality, and how existing gender inequalities influence economic outcomes. GEE stimulates reexamination of key economic concepts, models, and indicators—with a particular view to reformulating policy—and offers a broader view of what an economy is and how it functions. The purpose of the program is to contribute knowledge that improves women’s status and helps them realize their rights in the United States and other countries.
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The aging of the U.S. population will be a primary domestic public policy issue during the next decades. According to U.S. Census Bureau projections, the proportion of the elderly in the total population will increase from its 2002 level of 12.5 percent to 16.3 percent by 2020. Concomitantly, the proportion of the working-age population (20–64) is projected to decline from its current level, of about 59 percent, to 57.2 percent in 2020. These demographic changes imply a significant growth in the number beneficiaries in major federal entitlement programs. Apart from this factor, existing program rules and rapidly escalating health care costs are expected to lead to fiscal pressures and pose challenges for economic growth.

The United States is not alone in facing these challenges; in fact, in most countries with advanced economies, the problem is far more severe. The challenges of coping with an aging population require action in the near term in order to forestall difficult choices in the long term.

This conference provided an assessment of the forces that currently drive, and will continue to drive, government spending on retirees. Papers presented at the conference examined how the retirement and health care of older citizens might be financed, and measured the potential impact of different reform proposals.
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AFFILIATED PROGRAMS

The Economics Program at Bard is the branch of the College’s Division of Social Studies that inquires into “the nature and causes of the wealth of nations” (Adam Smith). The principal aim of an economics program offered within a liberal arts setting is not to train students in how to manage a business or maximize the value of an investment portfolio, but to show how alternative economic systems arise, why they succeed, and why they fail. Because issues of public policy invariably have an economic dimension, all informed citizens should be familiar with basic economic principles. The Economics Program offers several courses of general interest at the 100 level (no prerequisites), as well as courses of special interest to students concentrating in political studies, historical studies, sociology, philosophy, American studies, or community, regional, and environmental studies.

In the fall of 2007, Bard College began offering a five-year B.S./B.A. dual-degree program in economics and finance. Students receive both a B.S. degree in economics and finance and a B.A. degree in one of four academic divisions: Arts; Languages and Literature; Science, Mathematics, and Computing; or Social Studies (in a field other than economics). The Bard Program in Economics and Finance is designed to meet the needs of students who wish to achieve a broad education in the liberal arts and sciences, even as they prepare themselves for careers in the financial world.

Economists for Full Employment (EFE) is a knowledge-sharing initiative designed to link and mobilize a global community of economists, academics, public policy advocates, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), and nonprofits. EFE’s principal objective is to place decent job creation at the center of development and macroeconomic policy strategies. EFE advances policy-oriented research that is linked to the design and implementation of full-employment schemes and works to improve employment outcomes by influencing and leveraging the policies and programs of development agencies and financial institutions.
In 2007, the Levy Institute designed and launched an interactive website, as groundwork for creating EFE’s operational network. The website provides news of upcoming events and links to other organizations and information networks; maintains a bibliographic database; offers—for use by governments, NGOs, and nonprofits—a list of experts in fields such as economics, engineering, and anthropology; and hosts an open forum for EFE members.

**Economists for Peace and Security** (EPS), an independent not-for-profit organization housed at the Levy Institute, is an international network of economists with affiliates in 17 countries. Worldwide membership is approximately 1,000. Since 1989, EPS has been the economic community’s voice on issues of war, armaments, and conflict reduction, and has served as a clearinghouse for research on these issues. The organization works to inform social scientists, citizens, journalists, and policymakers about the full costs of war and conflict, and to propose feasible alternative approaches to building international security. EPS is accredited with special consultative status by the United Nations’ Department of Public Information, as well as its Economic and Social Council.

**The International Working Group on Gender, Macroeconomics, and International Economics** (GEM-IWG) was formed in 1994 for the purpose of promoting research, teaching, policymaking, and advocacy on gender-equitable approaches to macroeconomics, international economics, and globalization. GEM-IWG comprises five regional groups, as well as nine thematic groups (addressing specific subjects). These groups are composed of fellows, instructors, and participants from GEM-IWG’s summer courses and conferences. GEM-IWG’s Program on Knowledge Networking and Capacity Building, inaugurated in summer 2003, strengthens intellectual links among practitioners in networks working on similar issues, and is intended primarily for economists. The program consists of a self-study module and an intensive two-week course, followed by a conference.
The Levy Economics Institute gratefully acknowledges the financial support provided by the following organizations.

The **United Nations Development Programme** (UNDP) awarded the Levy Institute a grant to lead a research project on the impact of public employment guarantee schemes (EGS) on pro-poor development and gender equality. Public employment generates income for the poor, results in asset creation, and improves human development. However, extensive worldwide participation of women in EGS points to the fact that substituting unpaid work with public jobs can be instrumental for gender equity, because it simultaneously creates much-needed employment for, and alleviates the time burdens of, women.

The Levy Institute project consists of a pilot study exploring the synergies between EGS and unpaid work—including unpaid care work—in India and South Africa. The study will provide the empirical evidence necessary for policymakers to initiate innovative poverty-alleviation plans. The project team, led by Research Scholar Rania Antonopoulos, includes Research Associates Indira Hirway and Valeria Esquivel, and President Dimitri B. Papadimitriou.

The **Alfred P. Sloan Foundation** awarded a generous grant to support research within the Levy Institute Measure of Economic Well-Being (LIMEW) program. The ongoing LIMEW research project, “Long-Term Trends in Economic Well-Being in the United States and International Comparisons among Advanced Industrialized Countries,” is headed by Senior Scholars Edward N. Wolff and Ajit Zacharias. The initial phase of the research focused on constructing the LIMEW for two benchmark years, 1989 and 2000. Subsequently, estimates were developed for 1995, 2001, and 2002. The Sloan Foundation grant allows the research team to complete estimates for 2003 and 2004, extend the LIMEW for the United States back in time to 1962, and explore the feasibility of developing the LIMEW for a range of Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development countries whose political-economic systems vary widely and whose governments carry out differing amounts of redistribution via social welfare spending and taxation.

The Levy Institute received a generous underwriting grant from the **Smith Richardson Foundation** in support of its project “Government Spending on the Elderly.” According to Census Bureau estimates, the elderly population will increase, and the working-age population decline, in the coming decades. In order to explore the implications of an aging society for the economy and for domestic public policy, the Institute commissioned a series of papers examining various aspects of the economics of aging, including the changing role of employer pensions in the United States, the adequacy of retirement resources among the soon-to-retire, and the effects of wage growth on the long-term solvency of Social Security. The papers were presented at a conference held at Blithewood in April 2006, and were subsequently issued as working papers by the Institute. Final papers were compiled in the volume *Government Spending on the Elderly*, edited by President Dimitri B. Papadimitriou and published by Palgrave Macmillan in fall 2007.

The **International Development Research Centre** (IDRC) and the **Ford Foundation** awarded major grants to the Levy Institute and the University of KwaZulu-Natal (South Africa) for coordinating an international project on the gender dimensions of taxation and tax policy reforms.
Studies in South Africa, Mexico, Argentina, India, and Morocco will form the basis for a comparative analysis of gender and taxation data from developing countries and will generate specific lessons for gender-aware tax reform within the context of globalization. Project outcomes will include an edited volume containing the case studies, information briefs for policy advocacy, and country-specific recommendations for tax policy and tax reform. This joint project emerged from discussions at the workshops and conferences of the International Working Group on Gender, Macroeconomics, and International Economics (GEM-IWG), organized at the University of Utah in 2004 and 2005 and supported by the Ford Foundation and the IDRC.

The Levy Institute received support from the International Labour Organization (ILO) for a primary background paper on the gendered division of labor in unpaid care work and paid work at the household level. The study examines the evolving international division of labor and the gender dimensions of new global production systems; effects of the paid–unpaid work interface on gender equality, with respect to decent work outcomes; and interconnections between individual and family poverty. The findings will be incorporated in the ILO’s forthcoming “Decent Work Report” on gender equality.

The ILO also provided support for the launch of an interactive website, as groundwork for creating an operational network to serve the goals of Economists for Full Employment (EFE). A knowledge-sharing initiative that grew out of an October 2006 Levy Institute conference on employment guarantee policies, EFE seeks to link and mobilize a global community of economists, academics, public policy advocates, nongovernmental organizations, and nonprofits, with the principal objective of placing decent job creation at the center of development and macroeconomic strategies. Research Scholar Rania Antonopoulos is coordinator of the project.

Senior Scholar Joel Perlmann has received a grant from the Russell Sage Foundation to write a book about ethnic and racial intermarriage in America since 1880, with a major focus on the descendants of the last great wave of immigrants (ca. 1890–1914), particularly Italian immigrants. An objective of Perlmann’s research is the linking of two great themes of American assimilation: intermarriage and upward socioeconomic mobility.

At the recommendation of J. Ezra Merkin, of Gabriel Capital Group, the Tehilah Foundation of the Jewish Communal Fund awarded a grant of $13,000 to the Levy Institute. The grant helped underwrite Senior Scholar Joel Perlmann’s miniconference, “The American Jewish Periphery,” held at the Levy Institute in October 2006.

Among those with Jewish origins, there exists a marginal group whose members have only one Jewish parent or guardian, and whose connections to their Jewish roots are tenuous. This raises a number of questions about American Jewish life in the near term. What are the social characteristics and political outlook of this peripheral group? How do members of the group interact with the Jewish mainstream? When conducting community surveys and government censuses, what is the best way to account for the group?

Supplemental support for the two-day event was drawn from a grant that the Center for Cultural Judaism provided to Bard College’s Jewish Studies Program.
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The Levy Institute’s main research and conference facility is Blithewood, an early-20th-century mansion on the campus of Bard College, located 90 miles north of New York City in Annandale-on-Hudson, New York. Bard College is an independent, nonsectarian, residential, coeducational institution offering a four-year B.A. program in the liberal arts and sciences and a five-year B.S./B.A. degree in economics and finance.

In 1860 John Bard donated a portion of the Blithewood estate for the founding of St. Stephen’s College, which later became Bard College. Captain Andrew Zabriskie bought the remainder of the estate in 1899 and commissioned Francis L. V. Hoppin, an alumnus of the prestigious architectural firm McKim, Mead & White, to design the manor house and formal garden. The classical Italianate garden, 135 feet by 109 feet, sits behind the Georgian mansion and is integrally connected to its design.

After the Levy Institute was established in 1986, Blithewood—which overlooks the Hudson River—underwent a full-scale restoration. The project was carried out under the direction of James Polshek and Partners, the architectural firm noted for its restoration of Carnegie Hall. Shelby White led the effort to restore the garden. White is the widow of Leon Levy (1925–2003), who was the founder of the Levy Institute, chairman of its Board of Governors, and life trustee of Bard College.