


How do government deficits help stabilize a “poker economy”?


An Introduction to the Sectoral Balances Model

By Daniel Negreiros Conceição
University of Missouri in Kansas City (UMKC)



What's a poker economy?

- It is an economy in which the decisions to produce and to invest are driven by the desire to accumulate financial wealth which cannot be produced, but, rather, may only be acquired through balance-sheet offsetting transactions - i.e. financial wealth must be won from others.




Key features of a poker economy

- Financial **gains are at the same time** financial **losses** looked at from different points of view.*
- **Production** of use values is a **byproduct of zero-sum financial games**.
- **Participants are not equally able to sustain losing financial balances over time.**
- **No self-correcting tendencies** drive the economy to full employment.
- **Self-reinforcing dynamics** in which participants respond to frustrating financial results by reducing expenditures (which are losses to them but gains to others) produce instability.

A Literal Poker Economy

Cleft

by Rene Baur



copyright Rene Baur 2001

www.oziserv.com/cleft

by Daniel Negreiros Conceição

A Literal Poker Economy


- There are **players** who **play against each other** trying to **accumulate chips**.
- **Chips represent a claim on the casino** and, therefore, only the casino can issue new chips.
- A **casino is sovereign** if chips are **denominated in terms of chip-values** only.
- Players' **wealth (financial stocks)** may be composed of **chips** previously won from the casino (or from another player who won them from the casino...) or **chip-denominated IOUs** issued by other players.*
- **Players win chips by causing other players to lose chips** in games or **by receiving interest** on chip-denominated IOUs.

A Literal Poker Economy

- At the end of each game (and after many games), **aggregate winnings must be exactly offset by aggregate losses.**
- Though the **financial gain for society (casino and players) as a whole is zero**, there is a **real gain** in the form of the poker games that are played (the economy's real output).
- The value of the games produced and “sold” in the economy (**GDP**) is **given by aggregate winnings/aggregate losses** during the year.

A literal Poker Economy

- As frequent losers run out of chips, they are forced to **borrow** in order to keep playing.
- With **lending and borrowing**, victors may expand their chip-denominated **wealth beyond** the limit imposed by **the casino's total outstanding debt** (chips held by players).
- **Frequent losers** may find it increasingly hard to borrow, be **forced to leave the world of poker and default** on their outstanding debt. Lenders' wealth is (at least partially) destroyed and willingness to lend may fall sharply.



A Literal Poker Economy

- When players are **denied access to poker games due to insufficient chips/chip-denominated credit**, the **economy underperforms**.
- A **sovereign casino can always expand real production** (number of games) **by injecting more chips into the economy** (by increasing its losses to players or by sponsoring chip-deprived players).

Capitalism as a poker economy

$$Y \equiv C + I_r + G + (X - M) \equiv C + S + T$$


$$\text{"losses"} = C + I_r + G + X$$

$$\text{"winnings"} = C + S + T + M$$

The sectoral balances are given by:

$$(S - I_r) + (T - G) + (M - X) \equiv 0$$

The Sectoral Balances as a percent of GDP



By **Scott Fullwiler** at http://neweconomicperspectives.blogspot.com/2009/07/sector-financial-balances-model-of_17.html

It really works: $(S-I) = (G-T) + (X-M)$!

The Sectoral Balances Model

The Domestic Private Sector


Net Financial
Balances

Remember:

$$(S - I_r) = (G - T) + (X - M)$$

If GD and $TS = 0$, then $PSFB = 0$

$GD > 0$, TS or $FSS > 0$, $S - I > 0$



$GD < 0$, TS or $FSS < 0$, $S - I < 0$

Where

$$PSFB_d = S - I_p = -\alpha + (1 - b) \cdot Y - I_p$$


$$I_p = f(LP, MEK)$$

$$\alpha = f(LP)$$

The Sectoral Balances Model

The Domestic Private Sector

Net Financial
Balances



Where


$$PSFB_d = S - I_p = -\alpha + (1 - b) \cdot Y - I_p$$

$$I_p = f(LP, MEK)$$

$$\alpha = f(LP)$$

The Sectoral Balances Model

The Domestic Private Sector



Where

$$PSFB_d = S - I_p = -\alpha + (1-b) \cdot Y - I_p$$


$$I_p = f(LP, MEK)$$

$$\alpha = f(LP)$$

The Sectoral Balances Model

The Domestic Private Sector

Net Financial
Balances



Where

$$PSFB_d = S - I_p = -\alpha + (1 - b) \cdot Y - I_p$$


$$I_p = f(LP, MEK)$$

$$\alpha = f(LP)$$

Complications of an Open Economy

The Trade Balance and Net Savings by the Foreign Sector

3 examples of CAB lines: (1) appreciated fixed ER, (2) neutral and (3) depreciated fixed ER.



Where

$$CAB = X - M$$

$$X = x + \theta ER$$

$$M = m - \omega ER + \mu Y$$

Purely endogenous CAB

$$x + ER^* = m - \omega ER^* + \mu Y = X = M \wedge CAB = 0$$

Stock determinants of CAB (via ER)


$$K_{IN}, K_{OUT}, PCE_{DOM}, SCE_{FOR}$$

$$CAB = x + \theta ER - m + \omega ER - \mu Y$$

Where

$$-CAB = M - X$$

Appendix: Math Underneath the CAB lines for Brazil



Finding ER^* :

$$K_{IN} + X + SCB_{FOR} = K_{OUT} + M + PCB_{DOM}$$

$$K_{IN} + x + \theta ER^* + SCB_{FOR} = K_{OUT} + m - \omega ER^* + \mu Y + PCB_{DOM}$$

$$ER^* = \frac{K_{OUT} - K_{IN}}{\theta + \omega} + \frac{PCB_{DOM} - SCB_{FOR}}{\theta + \omega} + \frac{m - x}{\theta + \omega} + \frac{\mu Y}{\theta + \omega}$$

Influenced by interest rate differentials
 Influenced by policy decisions of CBs.


Zero-CAB exchange rate.

Substituting ER back into CAB: $CAB = (K_{OUT} - K_{IN}) + (PCB_{DOM} - SCB_{FOR})$

Therefore, CAB is determined by KAB and CB policy only. A sloped CAB may be the result from a fixed ER policy.

The Sectoral Balances Model

The non-Government Sector




This -CAB line results from artificially appreciated domestic currency, perhaps due to the policy of foreign CBs.

Where

$$NGFE_d = (S - I_p) + (M - X) = a + (1 - b)Y - I_p + m - \omega ER + \mu Y - x - \theta ER$$

The Stabilizing Role of Government Deficits and the FIH

The Government Deficit




Where

$$GD = G - T = (g - \Omega.Y) - (t + \lambda.Y)$$

$$NGFB_d = (S - I_p) + (M - X) = -a + (1 - b)Y - I_p + m - \omega ER + \mu Y - \pi - \theta ER$$


by Daniel Nogueiros Conceição

Stabilizing an Unstable Economy through Functional Finance




~~$$GD = G - T = (s - \alpha.Y) - (t + \lambda.Y)$$~~

Stabilizing an Unstable Economy with JG/ELR Policies




In order for slope to be independent of W_{av} , we may choose to set $W_{min} = \varphi W_{av}$

What Happened in 2008?




What Happened in 2008?




A less income elastic GD causes income fluctuations to be more violent following a financial crisis. In 1929, the GD line was not nearly as steep as in 2008.

In Brasil and in other developing countries...




$Y_{us} \downarrow \rightarrow X \downarrow, LP_{us} \uparrow \rightarrow I_p \downarrow, \text{Fin. Volat.} \uparrow \rightarrow LP \uparrow \rightarrow I_p \text{ e } a \downarrow$



Thank You Very Much!


by Daniel Negreiros Conceição



Post Keynesian Economics vs. IS-LM Keynesianism

- The **economy cannot self-correct via price adjustments** and there is no inherent tendency towards full employment.
- Economic **fluctuations** often create **self-reinforcing** dynamics.
- **Stocks and flows** must be analyzed sequentially.
- Recognize that every **money flow adds to a party's money balances and reduces another's**.
- The structure of **debt matters**.

Post Keynesian Economics vs. IS-LM Keynesianism (1)



Some Behavioral Assumptions

The Domestic Private Sector:

$$C = a + b.Y_{(1)} \text{ and } S = -a + (1 - b).Y$$

$$I_p = f(LP, MEK), a = f(LP)$$

The Foreign Sector (Current Account):

$$M = m + \mu.Y, X = f(\Pi, Y_{\text{foreign}}) \quad (2)$$

$$\text{and } m = f(\Pi, LP)$$

The Government:

$$G = g - \Omega.Y \text{ and } T = t + \lambda.Y$$